### **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_131021
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION, AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA FROM SU RHIZOSPHERE
Type of the Article	

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

#### Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

## SUGARCANE (SACCHARUM OFFICINARUM)

# **Review Form 3**

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during	Author's Feedback ( part in the manuscript
	peer review.	his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance in agriculture as it explores the potential role of rhizospheric bacteria as biofertilizers instead of using chemical fertilizers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested Alternative Title: Isolation and Identification of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria from sugarcane	
	(Saccharum officinarum) Rhizosphere	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your	The abstract provides a good overview of the study, covering the aim, methodology, results, and conclusion.	
suggestions here.	<ul> <li>you must use passive form in this sentence (We took sample from different types of sugarcane rhizosphere in the Ankleshwar area to obtain isolates).</li> <li>Please use solubilize phosphate instead of break down phosphate, use produce IAA instead of make IAA, (produce) make nitrogen</li> </ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant to the study but I have a comment on the way of writing it for example you (Sureshbabu et al. 2016) when you put the reference between brackets, write et al italic <i>et al</i> and put comma after it like this (Sureshbabu <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Dptional/General comments	In material and methods please use passive form for example We took a rhizospheric soil sample replace it with the rhizospheric soil sample was taken please check all methods	

## <u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shimaa El-Syed Ibrahim Hassanein
Department, University & Country	AI-Azhar University, Egypt

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write