

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_131021
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION, AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA FROM SUGARCANE (SACCHARUM OFFICINARUM) RHIZOSPHERE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Using <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> as a biofertilizer can promote ecologically friendly farming methods by lowering reliance on chemical fertilisers. 2. Plant growth is improved by the bacterial isolate's capacity to degrade phosphate, which increases soil nutrient availability. 3. The formation of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) encourages seed germination and root growth, resulting in stronger crops.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title of the article suitable is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes comprehensive but need some corrections	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient and recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Need correction in comments from 1-100. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT No plagiarism is suspected No ethical issues in this manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyam
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri, India