

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_130392
Title of the Manuscript:	BETA-LACTAMASE GENES IN UROPATHOGENS OF DIABETIC AND NON-DIABETIC SUBJECTS IN ELEME GENERAL HOSPITAL, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<div><div>➤</div><div><i>This manuscript brings out a problem that is affecting a whole society and by looking at the antibiotics used to treat this urinary tract infection, it helps to know which ones are effective and which ones have become resistant.</i></div></div> <div><div>➤</div><div><i>This manuscript will help solve the problem of antibiotic resistance by uropathogens.</i></div></div> <div><div>➤</div><div><i>This manuscript will also help inform the general public about the steps that have been taken by the WHO to treat UTIs.</i></div></div>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest, "ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF UROPATHOGENS ISOLATED FROM DIABETIC AND NON-DIABETIC PATIENTS ATTENDING ELEME GENERAL HOSPITAL, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<div><div>➤</div><div><i>The abstract lacks a conclusion.</i></div></div>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<div><div>➤</div><div><i>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</i></div></div>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<div><div>➤</div><div><i>The references are sufficient and up to date.</i></div></div>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<div><div>➤</div><div><i>Yes, it is.</i></div></div>	
Optional/General comments	<i>The manuscript is well written, just needs a little polish up and it is good for publishing.</i>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gechemba Mokaya Christine
Department, University & Country	Kampala International University, Uganda