**Cotton and Soybean based Risk Resilient Intercropping Systems for Rainfed Black Soils of Akola District of Maharashtra**
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ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| National Initiative on Climate Resilient Agriculture Project (NICRA) project of AICRP for Dryland Agriculture, Dr. Panajabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola is implemented with an objective to study, analyzed and to popularized risk resilient rainfed intercropping system in black soils of Akola district to mitigate climate vulnerability. Keeping this point in view, the assessment studies on different intercropping systems along with their respective sole crops were implemented as technology demonstrations in a participatory demonstration and action research mode with active participation of farmers in Warkhed and Kajleshwar village of Barshitakli Taluka of Akola district of Maharashtra during year 2021-22 to 2023-24 as a part of study. Intercropping system of soybean + pigeonpea (4:2) has given significantly higher soybean equivalent yield (2040 kg ha-1), net monetary returns (Rs.80891/- ha-1) and B:C ratio (3.65). Soybean + piegeonpea i (6:1) also significantly enhanced the soybean equivalent yield which was 1829 kg ha-1 and B:C ratio of 3.03 which was higher than sole soybean i.e 1415 kg ha-1 with B:C ratio of 2.59. Cotton + green gram (1:1) recorded higher cotton equivalent yield (2116 Kg ha-1), net monetary returns (Rs.95399/- ha-1), B:C ratio (2.82) and rainwater use efficiency (2.58) over sole cotton which has recorded yield of 1645 Kg ha-1, net monetary returns (Rs.74035/- ha-1), B:C ratio (2.57) and rainwater use efficiency (2.03). In Akola district of Maharashtra soybean + pigeonpea (4:2) and cotton + greengram (1:1) were identified as best risk resilient intercropping systems which would help in providing sustainable crop production in rain fed regions of Vidarbha. |
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1. INTRODUCTION

 About 60% of total cultivated area in India is managed under rain fed ecosystem, where crop production is dependent on rainfall, having no facility for protective or lifesaving irrigation. India ranks first among the rain fed agricultural countries of the world in terms of both extent and value of produce. Rain fed agriculture supports 40% of the national food demands (Thimmegowda *et al*., 2016). Rain fed agriculture occupies 67% net sown area, contributing 44% of food grains and supporting 40% of the population. The vulnerability of the rain fed regions is associated with low and erratic rainfall, land degradation, poor productivity, low level of input use and technology adoption, low draft power availability (Mayande and Katyal, 1996), inadequate fodder availability low productive livestock and resource poor farmers and inadequate credit availability. These areas receive an annual rainfall between 700-1000 mm , which is unevenly distributed, highly uncertain and erratic. As a result, a significant fall in food production is often noticed. The rain fed agriculture as such is most impacted by climate change (Asha latha *et al*., 2012). Added to this, reduced number of rainy days and increased rainfall intensity resulting in heavy crop losses need serious attention to bring stability of rainfed ecosystems. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to enhance resilience of rainfed agriculture to climate change through planned adaptation of appropriate icropping systems and also with other management practices of natural resource management (Singh *et al.,* 2004).

Intercropping systems involve two or more crop species or genotypes grown together and coexisting for a time. This latter criterion distinguishes intercropping from mixed monocropping and rotation cropping (Vandermeer, [1989](https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.13132#nph13132-bib-0100)). Intercropping is common, particularly in countries with high amounts of subsistence agriculture and low amounts of agricultural mechanization. Intercropping is often undertaken by farmers practicing low-input (high labour), low-yield farming on small parcels of land (Ngwira *et al*., [2012](https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.13132#nph13132-bib-0064)). Under theseconditions , intercropping can support increased aggregate yields per unit input, insure against crop failure and market fluctuations, meet food preference and/or cultural demands, protect and improve soil quality, and increase income (Rusinamhodzi *et al*., [2012](https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.13132#nph13132-bib-0078)). Inclusion of legumes as intercrops in cereals and oilseeds under pulse based intercropping sequences would have a positive effect on the productivity, economics and fertility status of the soil (Reddy *et al* 2015). Legume intercropping systems play a significant role in the efficient utilization of resources. Cereal-legume intercropping is a more productive and profitable cropping system in comparison with solitary cropping (Evans *et al*., 2001). India is second largest cotton producing country in the world which stands first in area (12.92 M ha) and second in production (37 M bales) with productivity of 443 kg ha-1 (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, DA & FW, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi 2023***)***. In India, Soybean is grown in an area of 10.84 million hectare with an annual production of about 14.68 million tons and productivity of 1354 kg/ha. India accounts for 90 per cent of world’s pigeon pea growing area and 85 per cent of world’s production. It is grown an area of 3.88 M ha with the production of 3.17 MT and productivity of 849 kg ha-1 (Pradhan *et al*., [2019).](https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.13132#nph13132-bib-0064) Keeping major rainfed crops in view, an attempt was made to evaluate pulses based intercropping systems in Cotton and Soybean which are major cash crops of rain fed regions to bring stability, productivity and profitability against climate risks in selected villages of Akola district of Maharashtra.

2. material and methods

The steps followed in selection of sites in districts include analysis of climate constraints of village based on long term data assessment of natural resources, identification of major faming situations, constraints of crop production, climate vulnerabilities, yield gaps and opportunities for climate change adaptations based on the detailed analysis, action plan to demonstrate appropriate intercropping systems to meet climate vulnerability (drought) was prepared on participatory mode with the help of scientists and farmers. The demonstrations were implemented during *Kharif* 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24.

 The farmers in the selected villages of Warkhed and Kajleshwar were stratified based on size of holding into marginal (>1ha), small (1-2 ha), medium (2-4 ha) and large farmers (>4 ha). The farmers were also stratified on the basis of soil types such as ; shallow, medium and deep in Soybean+Pigeon pea intercropping demonstrations whereas medium and deep in Cotton+Green gram intercropping. The training programs on production skills of different intercropping systems were imparted to the participants before conducting the demonstrations. The demonstrations on the improved intercropping systems along with the sole crops were conducted in 0.40 ha area on each farmers site in selected adopted villages (Table 1).

After the harvesting of intercrops, the yield of intercrops were recorded and residues of intercrops i.e. green gram and soybean are mulched in cotton and pigeon pea crops. The grain equivalent yield were worked out and economic analysis of inputs and output relationship was analyzed to quantify the benefits of interventions for last three years. Equivalent yield for each intercropping system was calculated based on the yield of individual crops in each intervention and their market prices prevailing at the time of experimentation for comparison of intercropping system with sole crop.

Soybean yield equivalent for soybean + pigeon pea intercropping was calculated as described by following formula (Prasad and Srivastava 1991):

Soybean equivalent yield (q ha-1) with Pigeon pea = ((Soybean grain yield (q ha-1) x rate of Soybean) + (Pigeonpea grain yield (q ha-1) x rate of Pigeonpea))/ rate of Soybean.

Note -Rate of Soybean =4600 Rs/q, Pigeon pea =7000 Rs/q. MSP rate were taken for study.

Similarly, the equivalent yield for Cotton + Greengram intercropping was calculated by formula:

 Cotton equivalent yield (q ha-1) with Green gram = ((Seed Cotton yield (q ha-1) x rate of Cotton) + (Green gram grain yield (q ha-1) x rate of Green gram))/ rate of Cotton.

 Note -Rate of Cotton =7020 Rs/q, Green gram =8558 Rs/q,

**Table 1. Area, number of farmers and rainfall under Soybean+Pigeon pea intercropping systems.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Village** | **Cropping system**  | **Crop Varieties** | **Year** | **Area****(ha)** | **Number of farmers** | **Rainfall (mm)** |
| **N** | **A** | **Kharif****(June-Sept)** | **Rabi****(Oct-Dec)** |
| Village Warkhed Taluka-Barshitakli, District- Akola | Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2)Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) Sole Soybean  | Soybean-JS-335Pigeonpea- PKV Tara | 2021-22 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 1043 | 904 | 139 |
| 2022-23 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 999 | 960 | 39 |
| 2023-24 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 574 | 554 | 20 |
| Village Kajleshwar Taluka-Barshitakli, District- Akola | Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2)Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) Sole Soybean Soybean-JS-335Pigeonpea- PKV Tara | Soybean-JS-335Pigeonpea- PKV Tara | 2021-22 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 1043 | 904 | 139 |
| 2022-23 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 999 | 960 | 39 |
| 2023-24 | 3.60 | 09 | 807.0 | 574 | 554 | 20 |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **21.60** | **54** |  |  |  |  |

**Table 2. Area, number of farmers and rainfall under Cotton + Green gram intercropping systems.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Village** | **Cropping system**  | **Crop Varieties** | **Year** | **Area****(ha)** | **Number of farmers** | **Rainfall (mm)** |
| **Normal** | **Actual** | **Kharif (Jun-Sept)** | **Rabi****(Oct-Dec)** |
| Village Warkhed Taluka-Barshitakli, District- Akola | Cotton+ Greengram (1:1) and Sole Cotton | *Bt.* Cotton–MallikaGreengram - Utkarsha | 2021-22 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 1043 | 904 | 139 |
| 2022-23 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 999 | 960 | 39 |
| 2023-24 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 574 | 554 | 20 |
| Village Kajleshwar, Taluka-Barshitakli, District- Akola | Cotton+ Greengram (1:1) and Sole Cotton | *Bt.* Cotton–MallikaGreengram - Utkarsha | 2021-22 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 1043 | 904 | 139 |
| 2022-23 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 999 | 960 | 39 |
| 2023-24 | 2.40 | 06 | 807.0 | 574 | 554 | 20 |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **14.40** | **24** |  |  |  |  |

**2.1. Rainfall pattern at experimental sites**

The villages Warkhed (Bk) and Kajleshwar are in Barshitakli taluka of Akola district of Maharashtra State is situated between 77O7' 00’’ to 77O 10' 00’’ E longitude and 20O 32’ 30’’ to 20O 35' 00'' N latitude and covers area of 198 ha and 754 ha respectively. The mean elevation of the area is about 325 mabove sea level . Villages Warkhed and Kajleshwar are situated at about 26 and 32 km south and east of Akola city respectively. The Villages receives normal rainfall of 807.0 mm. The villages normally received an average rainfall of 806 mm rainfall during *Kharif* season and 66mm rainfall during *Rabi* season as estimated from the rainfall received during last three years i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24. The total rainfall received in the villages was 1043, 999, and 574 mm which was higher than normal rainfall by 29.2%, 23.8% for the year 2021-22 and 2022-23 whereas total rainfall is deficit by 28.8% in the year 2023-24 which reflects the climate vagaries in the region which is coupled with both heavy rainfall events and prolonged dry spells. Seasonwise The seasonal distribution of rainfall during these years depicts that during Kharif season received rainfall higher than normal by 12.0% and 18.9% during 2021-22 and 2022-23 whereas it was deficit by -31.3% in year 2023-24. In case of *Rabi* season, there is higher rainfall during year 2021-22 by 39% whereas it was deficit by -61% and -80% during 2022-23 and 2023-24. During the year 2021-22 and 2022-23 the onset of monsoon is normal i.e around 7-11 June whereas in 2023-24 the sowing has been delayed upto second week of July and sowing is delayed for around one month due to late onset of monsoon during this year. These different situations of seasonal rainfalls and unpredictable behavior of rainfall which was coupled with late onset, prolonged dry spells and heavy rainfall events makes the region climate vulnerable.

3. results and discussion

Assessment of intercropping systems of soybean + pigeon pea (4:2)/ soybean + pigeon pea (6:1) with 54 farmers in 21.0 ha area and cotton + green gram (1:1) with 24 farmer demonstrations implemented on an area of 14.40 ha in black soils of Warkhed and Kajlehswar villages, Taluka – Barshitakali and Dist-Akola in Maharashtra state were conducted during the year 2021-22 to 2023-24 in action research participatory mode through NICRA project implemented by AICRRP for Dryland Agriculture, Dr. PDKV, Akola.

During the year of 2021-22 to 2023-24, the results showed that intercropping of soybean + pigeon pea (4:2) recorded higher soybean equivalent yield 2066, 2088 and 2136 kg ha-1 compared to the soybean + pigeon pea (6:1) 1895, 1897, and 1894 kg ha-1  and sole crop which recorded 1498, 1623, and 1186 kg ha-1 yield respectively in village Warkhed.

Similar trend of results were obtained in village Kajleshwar wherein intercropping of soybean + pigeon pea (4:2) recorded higher soybean equivalent yield 2052, 1932 and 1963 kg ha-1 compared to the soybean + pigeon pea (6:1) 1886, 1721, and 1680 kg ha-1  and sole crop which recorded 1512, 1548, and 1123 kg ha-1 yield respectively (Table 3).

From the given data sets it was observed that, on an average intercropping system of soybean + pigeon pea (4:2) has given significantly higher soybean equivalent yield (2040 kg ha-1 ), net monetary returns (Rs.80891/- ha-1) and B:C ratio (3.65). Soybean + piegeon pea in row proportion of (6:1) also significantly enhance the soybean equivalent yield which was 1829 kg ha-1 and B:C ratio of 3.03 which was higher than sole soybean i.e 1415 kg ha-1 with B:C ratio of 2.59. These results are in agreement with the findings of Prasad and Srivastava (1991). Rainwater use efficiency was also found higher in soybean + pigeon pea (4:2) intercropping system (2.79) followed by soybean + pigeon pea (6:1) intercropping system (2.24) which was higher than sole soybean (1.70). Lakhena and Maurya (2009) and Turkhede *et al.* (2015) reported that, intercropping system reduced the yield of main crop and significantly increase the grain equivalent yield over sole crop. Reddy *et al.* (2015) reported that the, soybean + pigeon pea system gave benefit to the extent of 40-60 percent in different sites of Kurnool in Andhra Pradesh, Aurangabad and Nandurbar districts of Maharashtra.

**Table 3: Productivity and profitability of intercropping system of soybean +pigeonpea in medium black soils of village Warkhed and Kajleshwar in Akola District**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Village** | **Year** | **Cropping System** | **Grain Yield****(Kg ha-1)** | **Grain Equivalent Yield****(Kg ha-1 )** | **NMR (Rs.ha-1 )** | **B:C Ratio** | **RWUE****(Kg ha-1mm-1)** |
| **Soybean** | **Pigeonpea** |
| Warkhed | 2021-22 | Sole Soybean | 1498 | - | 1498 | 49546 | 2.79 | 1.43 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1206 | 699 | 2066 | 85468 | 3.79 | 2.15 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1250 | 524 | 1895 | 67717 | 3.20 | 1.81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022-23 | Sole Soybean | 1623 | - | 1623 | 55804 | 3.00 | 1.69 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1290 | 649 | 2088 | 87071 | 3.84 | 2.29 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1375 | 424 | 1897 | 68027 | 3.21 | 1.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023-24 | Sole Soybean | 1186 | - | 1186 | 29158 | 2.07 | 2.07 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1256 | 616 | 2136 | 82133 | 3.69 | 4.10 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1325 | 374 | 1894 | 61013 | 3.00 | 3.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kajleshwar | 2021-22 | Sole Soybean | 1512 | - | 1512 | 50193 | 2.81 | 1.44 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1134 | 746 | 2052 | 84648 | 3.77 | 2.33 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1262 | 507 | 1886 | 66844 | 3.18 | 1.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022-23 | Sole Soybean | 1548 | - | 1548 | 52049 | 2.87 | 1.61 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1176 | 615 | 1932 | 75610 | 3.49 | 2.26 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1326 | 321 | 1721 | 58585 | 2.92 | 1.72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023-24 | Sole Soybean | 1123 | - | 1123 | 26280 | 1.97 | 1.96 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2) | 1136 | 581 | 1963 | 70414 | 3.34 | 3.60 |
| Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1) | 1273 | 268 | 1680 | 50190 | 2.65 | 2.92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Mean** | **Mean** | **Sole Soybean** | **1415** | **-** | **1415** | **43838** | **2.59** | **1.70** |
| **Soybean+Pigeonpea (4:2)** | **1200** | **651** | **2040** | **80891** | **3.65** | **2.79** |
| **Soybean+Pigeonpea (6:1)** | **1302** | **403** | **1829** | **62063** | **3.03** | **2.24** |

In cotton + green gram intercropping system, cotton equivalent yield and B:C ratio were found higher i.e 1852, 2587, 2147 kg ha-1  with higher B:C ratio (2.46, 3.19, 2.99) over the sole cotton with yield of 1524, 2039 and 1739 kg ha-1 and B:C ratio of (2.42, 3.06, 2.70) over period of three years 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24 respectively in Warkhed Village.

Similar trends of results were also obtained in village Kajleshwar wherein the cotton + green gram intercropping system showed higher cotton equivalent yield i.e 1961, 2327 and 1820 kg ha-1 with higher B:C ratio (2.46, 3.19, 2.99) over the sole cotton with yield of 1317, 1731 and 1518 kg ha-1 and B:C ratio of (2.09, 2.70, 2.43) over period of three years respectively.

On an average, cotton + green gram in row proportion of (1:1) recorded higher cotton equivalent yield (2116 Kg ha-1), net monetary returns (Rs.95399/- ha-1), B:C ratio (2.82) and rainwater use efficiency (2.58) over sole cotton which has recorded yield of 1645 Kg ha-1, net monetary returns (Rs.74035/- ha-1), B:C ratio (2.57) and rainwater use efficiency (2.03). Any short duration intercrop used in the system will pay farmer and much needed interim income or meet the domestic requirement of food and fodder also reported by the Rao (1991) and Patel *et al*. (2013).

**Table 4: Productivity and profitability of intercropping system of cotton + greengram (1:1) in medium black soils of village Warkhed and Kajleshwar in Akola District**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of Village** | **Year** | **Cropping System** | **Yield****(Kg ha-1)** | **Cotton Equivalent Yield****(Kg ha-1)** | **NMR (Rs.ha1)** | **B:C Ratio** | **RWUE** |
| **Cotton** | **Greengram** |
| Warkhed | 2021-22 | Sole Cotton | 1524 | - | 1524 | 64477 | 2.42 | 1.46 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1226 | 664 | 1852 | 74738 | 2.46 | 1.77 |
| 2022-23 | Sole Cotton | 2039 | - | 2039 | 98987 | 3.06 | 2.04 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1726 | 914 | 2587 | 120726 | 3.19 | 2.59 |
| 2023-24 | Sole Cotton | 1739 | 0 | 1739 | 79205 | 2.70 | 3.03 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1414 | 602 | 2147 | 102879 | 2.99 | 3.74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kajleshwar | 2021-22 | Sole Cotton | 1317 | - | 1317 | 57473 | 2.09 | 1.26 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1280 | 723 | 1961 | 84875 | 2.64 | 1.88 |
| 2022-23 | Sole Cotton | 1731 | - | 1731 | 79051 | 2.70 | 1.73 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1505 | 873 | 2327 | 108185 | 3.01 | 2.33 |
| 2023-24 | Sole Cotton | 1518 | - | 1518 | 65015 | 2.43 | 2.65 |
| Cotton + Green gram (1:1) | 1167 | 536 | 1820 | 80990 | 2.62 | 3.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Mean** | **Mean** | **Sole Cotton** | **1645** | **-** | **1645** | **74035** | **2.57** | **2.03** |
| **Cotton + Green gram (1:1)** | **1386** | **719** | **2116** | **95399** | **2.82** | **2.58** |

4. Conclusion

The assessment studies on intercropping system in black soil of Akola districts of Maharashtra, indicated that soybean + pigeonpea (4:2) and cotton + greengram (1:1) were found more sustainable intercropping systems than sole cropping which proved resilient to climate vagaries. Thus, intercropping offers a solution to obtained higher yield per unit area and reduced risk of crop failure under unpredictable rain fed conditions of Vidarbha region of Maharashtra.

References

Ashalatha KV, Munisamy, Gopinath and Bhat ARS. 2012. Impact of climate change on rain fed agriculture in India: A Case Study of Dharwad. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Dev*., 3(4): 368-371

Directorate of Economics and Statistics, DA & FW, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, New Delhi (2023).

Evans J, Mcneill AM, Unkovich MJ, Fettell NA, Heenan DP. Net nitrogen balances for cool-season grain legume intercropping and contributions to wheat nitrogen uptake: a review. Aus. *J Exp. Agric*. 2001; 41:347-359.

Lakhena K.K. and Maurya B.M.2009. Intercropping of soybean and pigeon pea under rain fed condition. *Mysore Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 43(2):369-373.

Mayande V.M and Katyal J.C.(1996). Low Cost Improved Seeding Implements for Rain fed Agriculture. *Technical Bulletin 3*, CRIDA, Hyderabad. 26p.

Ngwira AR, Aune JB, Mkwinda S. (2012). On-farm evaluation of yield and economic benefit of short term maize legume intercropping systems under conservation agriculture in Malawi. *Field Crops Research* 132: 149–157.

Patel A.M., N.I. Patel, Chatra Ram and R.N. Singh (2013).Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*L.) based intercropping system under rain fed conditions of North Gujarat. *Green Farming* Vol. 4 (6): 773-776.

Piyush Pradhan, Ajay Verma and RK Naik (2019). Effect on yield of pigeon pea due to intercroppingof soybean and chickpea. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* ; 8(1): 713-718.

Prasad K, Srivastava RC (1991). Pigeon pea (*Cajanus* *cajan*) and soybean (Glycine max) intercropping system under rain fed situation. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*., 61: 243-246

Rao, V.P. 1991. A study on intercropping of cotton with grain legumes under rain fed conditions. *Journal of Research, Andra Pradesh Agric. Univ*., 19(20), 73-74.

Reddy Rajnedra G., Y.G.Prasad, M.Osman, T.Himabinda, B.M.K.Raju, N.Sudhakar and Ch.Srinivas Rao 2015. Climate Resilient Intercropping System for Rainfed Black Soils of Andhra Pradesh and Maharashtra. *India Journal of Dyrland Agric.Res.and Dev*.30 (1):35-41.

Rusinamhodzi L, Corbeels M, Nyamangara J, Giller KE. (2012). Maize–grain legume intercropping is an attractive option for ecological intensification that reduces climatic risk for smallholder farmers in central Mozambique. *Field Crops Research* 136: 12–22.

Singh H P, Sharma KD, Reddy GS, Sharma KL. (2004). Challenges and strategies of Dryland Agriculture. *CSSA Special Publications American Society of Agronomy*, 32: 67-92

Sunil Kumar, AB Turkhede, Rasika Wankhade and Ajit Kumar Meena (2022). Growth, yield and quality of cotton in cotton based intercropping system under organic and rainfed condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*: 11(2):154-157.

Thimmegowda M.N., B.K.Ramachandrappa, K. Devaraja1, M.S. Savitha 1,P.N. Srikanth Babu1, K.A. Gopinath, G. Ravindra Chary and Ch. Srinivasa Rao (2016). Climate Resilient Intercropping Systems for Rainfed Red Soils of Karnataka. *Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. & Dev*. 31(2) : 39-44.

Turkhede, A.B., M.B.Nagdeve, V.V. Gabhane, Anil Karunakar, M.M.Ganvir and P.R. Damre (2015). Productivity of soybean + pigeonpea intercropping system under dryland condition. *PKV Res. Journal* 36(2):122-126.

Vandermeer J. (2010). The ecology of agroecosystems. Sudbury, MA, USA: Bartlett and Jones.