

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131376
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Assessment of Systemic Hypertension in Dogs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic of study is highly relevant and is the need of hour. The choice of subject, species and study period is appreciated. Use of non- invasive technique (NIBP) and effort to standardise is also appreciated. The report can serve as a valuable database for further future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be rephrased on epidemiological terms more accurately: For example- “Incidence and prevalence of systemic hypertension in pet dogs (in a tropical region)- a cross- sectional study” Authors can make the title more scientifically relevant/with medical jargon/easy to find on apt keyword search online	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Acceptable abstract- brief and to the point, fits to word limit.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The methodology and analysis are standard procedures. The article has not been written carefully.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not recent exactly. Most are re-cited from other articles/copied -(ex: Acierno et al., 2018). Collect more literature, read and paraphrase from comprehension. Pointers have been added in comments section on word docx for author to note and rewrite article.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It can be effectively revised by the author with a little bit of effort. Comments have been added on review in the docx file.	
Optional/General comments	Note to author- Kindly read textbooks and clinical literature well. Paraphrase and rewrite from your comprehension. Make your own inferences and support them with sound scientific reason and logic along with literary evidence (scientific public domain publications). This is an era of evidence-based medicine and an epidemiological article/report needs a better temper. This article if better written, will receive a lot of citations if revised effectively (which is beneficial to author). Author is advised and encouraged to revise and resubmit this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gayathri Kanakasabesan
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Mannuthy, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India