

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131162
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey to assess Banded Leaf and Sheath Blight disease severity in major maize growing areas of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the quality of the manuscript is good and important for the scientific community, and the literature review is ok	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is well composed having relevance, clarity, and effectiveness	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it pinpoints the key elements like its objectives and conclusions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it was well structured	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient and updated, but journal format is not followed	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is average for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Check manuscript for errors	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lellapalli Rithesh
Department, University & Country	Kerala Agricultural University, India