

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131016
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPREHENSIVE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY ON DERMATOPHYTOSIS IN DOGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This manuscript entitled (a comprehensive epidemiological study on dermatophytosis in dogs) tried to survey the prevalence of dermatophytoses in dogs. The manuscript has many defects that make it need re-writing to be a scientific paper. The manuscript need major revision. The most important comments are:</p> <p>Title: The city of the study must include in the title due to its epidemiological study.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The first sentence included that dermatophytosis is zoonotic, while many species are anthropophilic.• Abbreviation should be written in full the first time you mention it, then use abbreviation.• There is no conclusion <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In general, the introduction is very short. Other studies in the same field must be added with updated references.• The last three lines of the introduction must be removed to the conclusion after discussion. <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is too much missing information in the method section which makes the method unacceptable. Ex. dog number (found in results is not correct), ages, sex, diagnosis methods, exclusion and inclusion characters, a person who diagnoses the infections, and more other things.• There was no ethical approval. <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The first paragraph is supposed to be a method, except the positive number (43 dogs).• There is no need for Table 1.• Using other studies to support the results was not used in a scientific manner. There is no need to give more details about other skin diseases. The aim of the study was to	

Review Form 3

	<p>dermatophytosis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">There is a lot of results that have no background in the method section.The word per cent is supposed to be symbol (%). <p>References;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Most of the references are old and need updating. <p>References;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Most of references are old and need update.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Abdul Hussein S. AL-Janabi
Department, University & Country	College of Medicine, University of Karbala, Iraq