

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130893
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Study on Occurrence of Ascites associated with Hepatic origin in Dogs</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the diagnosis and therapeutic management of ascites in dogs, with a particular focus on cases associated with hepatic origin. The study, conducted over a six-month period from May to October 2024, screened 2,948 dogs and identified 44 confirmed cases of ascites, offering a comprehensive analysis of the condition's occurrence and distribution. The study also provides important epidemiological data on age, breed, and gender-specific occurrences of ascites, which can aid veterinarians in risk assessment and early diagnosis. This research contributes to the scientific community by enhancing our understanding of ascites in dogs and potentially improving diagnostic and treatment approaches in veterinary medicine.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the manuscript is not fully suitable for scholarly communications as it contains several grammatical errors and informal expressions ("Dogs are always known to be the best friend of human beings"). Additionally, there are instances of unclear sentence structures, such as "There are two theories have been postulated about how ascites occurs". Improvements in grammar and sentence structure are recommended..	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<b>I consider the study a very well structured one, but the English level should be improved. I recommend being read by a native speaker.</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Razvan Nicolae Malancus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>"Ion Ionescu de la Brad" Iasi University of Life Sciences, Romania</b>