

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130886
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of different wrapping materials on shelf-life of Papaya (Carica papaya L.) cv. Red Lady”
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It will provide scientific basis for packaging choices. This study will help in retention of the quality of the papaya. This research work helps improve the storage duration. This study will help in reduction of Postharvest Losses.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Different Wrapping Materials on Postharvest Shelf Life and Quality of Papaya (Carica Papaya L.) cv. Red Lady	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but several grammatical errors need to be corrected. The scientific name of papaya should be italicized, treatment numbers should be in subscript, the degree symbol (°) should be placed before Brix (°Brix), and the letters "r" and "l" in red lady should be capitalized.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The content is correct, but several changes need to be incorporated, including grammatical corrections, formatting adjustments for line spacing, and ensuring a consistent theme and font throughout the entire manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the cited references are missing from the reference list like Wilson, 1974, Sharma et al. 2015 etc. Only few recent references have been included. Include more and more recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript uses medium-level english quality; more scientific language should be incorporated	
Optional/General comments	<p>In the results section, justification is provided using only one author; therefore, more studies should be incorporated to strengthen the justification.</p> <p>In the conclusion, along with the parameters, the observed results should also be mentioned for better clarity and completeness.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Kumar
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India