

Review Form 3

Journal name:	Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology
Manuscript number:	Ms_JABB_130725
Title of the manuscript:	Performance of rice-wheat cropping system under different production systems in irrigated subtropics of Jammu
Type of item	

General guidelines for the peer review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected solely on the basis of "lack of novelty", provided that the manuscript is scientifically sound and technically sound. For complete guidelines on the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies on Peer Review

Peer Review Comment Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors are required to write their comments here)
Please write a few sentences about the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>It is suggested that the key words be worked with the UNESCO THESAURUS, which is a universal glossary that places the study within a specific spectrum of sciences. Agriculture – Cultivation – Rice – Society....</p> <p>The problem is described effectively, but not the justification, which is weak at a reference level. This may be due to the lack of literature on this type of topic.</p> <p>The context in which the research is conducted is pertinent; the research design is not indicated; the population selected for treatment is ideal; the formula to be used for reading the results is not mentioned. The results use an appropriate System of Units; they are not compared with similar studies.</p> <p>The findings show interesting findings that are compared with studies of similar characteristics, revealing the importance of conventional agriculture that surpassed organic and natural agriculture systems in terms of rice grain yield; however, the yield is stronger in the second year where soil quality and optimal management system are evident. Two studies on this topic are mentioned, indicating that this may be a topic of significant contribution to the current development of this product.</p> <p>Future lines of research that may be generated from this study are not indicated.</p> <p>Since the objective of the investigation does not exist, its conclusions cannot be determined.</p>	
Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)	The topic is appropriate	

Review Form 3

Is the article summary complete? Do you suggest adding (or removing) any points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The objective of the research is not evident; the methodology, results and findings are appropriate to the topic raised. The conclusions are also not mentioned.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write it here.	Since it is a study that has a place of experimentation and verification, it can be indicated that it is of a scientific nature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are weak in updating the studies on the proposed topic.	
Is the language and quality of the English of the article suitable for academic communications?	Appropriate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador