## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130642
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological and molecular diversity analysis in fennel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fennel has been used as a flavoring agent, a scent, and an insect repellent, as well as an herbal remedy for poisoning and stomach conditions. It has also been used as a stimulant to promote milk flow in breast-feeding and to induce menstruation. Fennel has been very important crop worldwide. Therefore the future breeding developments is very important to release high yielding lines.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need some modifications Give the one line of conclusive statement at the end of abstract and why is your research findings so important for the future breeding purposes.  Introduction An introduction of a research paper should have the followings in sequential order to make the paper acceptable.  1. The basic idea of the topic of the paper 2. Citation of similar works done by others quoting the references in proper format of the journal 3. Need of the present work with a line of hypothesis behind the work and 4. Objective of the paper Introduction not oriented as above is not accepted. You have not written as it should be. Revise. Not acceptable in the present form. See that a particular genetic event is to be introduced not the plant or its production.  Results and Discussion:  Accepted/Needs Modification Suggestion The discussion is explanation of your results in the light of similar works done by citing them to make your statement valid. This portion provides the firm ground and authenticity of your findings on the basis of previously published account. Revise this portion to make your paper relevant.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

### **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohammad Imran
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)