

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130629
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF BLACK GRAM GENOTYPES FOR RESISTANCE TO WHITEFLY, Bemisia tabaci (GENNADIUS) IN SOUTHERN TELANGANA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> L. Hepper) is most important pulse crop in India, which affected by various insects and pest and reduced the yield and productivity. It's important to study the various factors infection and damage caused by them for protection of balck gram against them.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable for this screening	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is based on agronomic parameters of balckgram crop against whitefly infections. Research only imparted morphological observation, if damage percentage and prevention methods regarding to various insect pest includes, which shows more scientific weightage of manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are adequate to manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language are scientifically correct and suitable for manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Within the manuscript “SCREENING OF BLACK GRAM GENOTYPES FOR RESISTANCE TO WHITEFLY, <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (GENNADIUS) IN SOUTHERN TELANGANA, INDIA” is showed that grading of black gram against whitefly infection. This study is important to knowing damage of black gram.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navnath G. Kashid
Department, University & Country	Baburaoji Adaskar Mahavidyalaya, India