

Original Research Article

Eco-friendly management of *Alternaria* blight of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) caused by *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk.) Sacc.

ABSTRACT

Brassica juncea, also known as Indian mustard, is a globally used oilseed, vegetable, and condiment. *Alternaria brassicae*, a filamentous fungus, causes Alternaria black spot, affecting crop productivity. This research endeavours to investigate environmentally sustainable methods for managing the Alternaria blight on mustard. The experiment was analyzed in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications in a plot size 2x1 m². The field experiment was conducted at the research plot in the Central Research Field, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P. during Rabi season 2022-2023 to test the effect of bioagents, botanicals and elicitors on Alternaria blight of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) caused by *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk.) Sacc. Treatments included seed treatment and foliar applications optimized from prior laboratory trials. Parameters like disease intensity and plant growth were assessed, and data were statistically analyzed. Among the treatments, *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 g/L was the most effective. The seed treatment and foliar spray of *T. viride* @ 10g/L thrice at 15-day intervals significantly reduced disease intensity on leaves (37.20%) and pods (18.73%), AUDPC (1245) and significantly increased the yield (1.631 t/ha), and cost-benefit ratio (2.37). The current experiment proved that, without using any chemicals, the management of Alternaria blight disease in mustard can be profoundly possible through the use of different bio-agents, essential oils, botanicals, and elicitors.

Keywords: *Alternaria brassicae*, bio-agents, elicitors, mustard, oilseed crops, salicylic acid, *Trichoderma viride*

1. INTRODUCTION

The contribution of oilseeds to the agriculture economy of India ranks second to food grains (Rathore et al., 2018). Mustard is a Latin term 'must'/'mustum' denotes the expressed juice of

Commented [Ys1]: While the abstract mentions the effectiveness of *Trichoderma viride*, including a brief mention of the comparative results for other bio-agents, botanicals, and elicitors would strengthen the discussion.

Commented [Ys2]: Only pathogen names should be italics not all keywords, correct it

grapes and 'ardens' means hot and burning. Mustard occupies a prominent place as the next most important to groundnut, both in area and production. Mustard crop is grown in both tropical and subtropical countries. Mustard oil has several fatty acids, among which erucic and linoleic acids are particularly significant. In *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss, oil content is usually about 30–38 % (Thomas et al., 2012). The crop can be ravaged by several diseases, among them, Alternaria blight disease, caused by *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk.) Sacc. and *A. brassicicola* (Schw.). Wiltshire, which has been reported from all the continents of the world, causing 10-70% yield losses depending on the crop species and affects most of the cruciferous crops (Kolte et al., 1987; Chattopadhyay, 2008; Meena et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2019). In India, it is one of the most important and widespread disease of all mustard growing areas of the Uttar Pradesh (Wadhvani and Dudheja, 1982). The symptoms of *A. brassicae* appear on leaves and stem and mature plants also in siliquae during ripening stage. Dark spots appear on leaves and siliquae, which adversely affect seed production and quality of mustard (Kumar et al., 2014). Pod infection is major factor that reduces seed yield and its management is necessary to increase seed yield (Hossian and Mian, 2004). Fungicide sprays are although effective in controlling the various fungal diseases but their extensive use is environmentally unsafe and also uneconomical. However, with increasing environmental pollution and the present-day public perception on pesticide contaminants of foods, especially edible oils, development of alternate economical and eco-friendly approaches for disease management is needed. Bio-agents offer an alternative to use of costly agrochemicals by producing low-cost environmental friendly control measures using antagonistic microorganisms that reduces the number and activity of plant pathogens (Sindhu et al., 2016; Bach et al., 2016). In this research, the limitations of chemical management have highlighted the need for exploring alternative eco-friendly approaches within the framework of Integrated Disease Management (IDM), aiming to effectively control Alternaria blight of Indian mustard while safeguarding the ecosystem.

2. METHODOLOGY

The field experiment was conducted at the research plot of the Central Research Field, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh) during *Rabi* season 2022-2023. The experiment was analyzed in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications in a plot size 2x1 m². The chosen field was excavated, weeded, cleaned and the dirt pulverized. NPK fertilizers were sprayed at rates of 80 N, 60 P, and 60 K kg/ha, respectively. At the time of sowing, half of the nitrogen, full doses of phosphate and potash were applied as basal, and the remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed at 30

DAS. The seeds were drilled at a depth of 3–4 cm according to the treatment guidelines, with a plant-to-plant spacing of 10 cm and a row-to-row spacing of 30 cm. The SRM - 777 (Mustard variety seed) was used for the research.

2.1 Isolation, purification and maintenance of culture of the pathogen

The leaf spot and lesions, showing the initial and conspicuous characteristic symptoms of *Alternaria* blight were selected for isolation of the pathogen. These selected infected spots were washed 3-4 times in sterilized distilled water and then surface sterilized by dipping in 1% NaOCl solution for 1 minute, followed by washing with sterilized water 3-4 times. These pieces were placed between two folds of sterile blotter paper in the inoculation chamber under aseptic conditions in order to eliminate excess moisture. Surface sterilized leaf spot pieces were then, aseptically transferred into 9 cm petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and incubated at $25\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 days. Thereafter, growing mycelia from margin of apparently distinct colonies of the leaf spot pieces on the medium were aseptically transferred into another petri plate containing PDA medium, where it was grown for 7 days at $25\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the BOD incubator. The culture of *Alternaria brassicae* was purified by single spore technique and maintained by periodic sub-culturing on PDA petri plates and slants.

2.2 Morphological characterization

Microscopic examination was conducted following the procedure described by Grahovac et al. (2012). The identification of *Alternaria* was based on morphological characteristics, including the size and shape of conidia, as well as cultural characteristics such as colony outline, shape, color, and texture, as described by Meena et al. (2010).

2.3 Preparation and artificial inoculation of the pathogen

One disc of actively growing culture of *A. brassicae* was seeded in 90 mm petri plates containing sterile and solidified PDA medium and incubated at $25\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 days. The pathogen culture was harvested in sterilized distilled water upon full growth at the rate of one plate per litre (inoculum load of approximately 10^6 spores per ml). The conidial concentrations were adjusted to 1×10^6 ml by adding sterile distilled water and observed with haemocytometer. Using a power sprayer, the suspension was applied to the crop's foliar regions at 30 days after sowing (DAS) and twice more at intervals of 7 days, continuing until the suspension began to drip off the sides of the leaves at dusk, when the temperature was relatively low.

2.4 Preparation of treatments:

Bio-agent powdered formulation was brought to the laboratory and the viability was checked

Commented [Ys3]: Briefly mention the purpose of maintaining the purified culture (e.g., for morphological or molecular studies).

Commented [Ys4]: Explain why application was done at dusk (e.g., to avoid rapid evaporation and ensure better pathogen establishment).

by serial dilution method. Polysorbate 20 (Tween 20) was added to water along with 0.2 % eucalyptus oil to bind oil in water (o/w) emulsion prior field spray. Neem leaf extract was prepared by using the method of standard procedure given by Mahapatra and Das (2013). Matured leaves were collected and sterilized with distilled water, the leaves were homogenized in a pre-chilled pestle and mortar using chilled and sterilized distilled water. Aqueous extract of this botanical (1% w/v) was prepared by mixing 100g fresh leaves of plant with 100ml of sterile distilled water and crushing in warring blender. The extract was filtered through Whatman grade 42 filter paper. The filtrate thus obtained was considered as 100% concentration.

2.5 Evaluation of treatments *in vivo*

The efficacy of bio-agents, essential oil, botanical, and elicitors on *Alternaria brassicae* was carried out in field condition. Seeds were treated and spread over a clean paper and dried in cool and shade place were sown immediately after drying. The laboratory trials conducted previously (Barath et al., 2023; Ann Rose et al., 2023) provided the basis for selecting the optimum concentration for the field study by testing three different concentrations. The lab tested eucalyptus oil @ 2% shows the phytotoxicity effect on plants. So, the concentration has been changed to 0.2%. Treatments were applied uniformly across all plots using a hand-operated knapsack sprayer fitted with a flat-fan nozzle at a pressure of 2 kg/cm². Spraying was carried out in the early morning to minimize evaporation losses. Observations were taken at 15 days interval after initiation of disease. Observations of the characters were recorded at 45, 60, 75 and 90 DAS.

Table 1. Details of treatments

Sr. No.	Tr. no.	Treatments	Concentrations
1	T ₀	Control (untreated check)	-
2	T ₁	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	10 g/L
3	T ₂	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	10 g/L
4	T ₃	Eucalyptus oil	0.2 %
5	T ₄	Neem leaf extract	15 %
6	T ₅	Salicylic acid	100 ppm
7	T ₆	<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	2 ml/L
8	T ₇	Mancozeb (treated check)	0.2 %

2.6 Per cent disease intensity

Per cent disease intensity was calculated by following formula (Wheeler, 1969).

$$\text{Per cent disease intensity} = \frac{\text{Sum of total numerical ratings}}{\text{Total no. of leaves observed} \times \text{Maximum disease grade}} \times 100$$

Observations were recorded on leaf blight severity (0-9 disease rating scale based on blighted area), on five randomly selected plants from each plot and per cent disease intensity (PDI) was calculated. The disease severity was recorded using following scale as per recommendation of All India Coordinated Research Project on Rapeseed- Mustard, 2018 which is as under:

Table 2. Grade chart for calculating PDI on leaves

Grade	Leaf area covered	Reaction
0	No lesion on leaves	Immune (I)
1	Non sporulating pinpoint size or small brown necrotic spots, less than 5% leaf area covered by the lesions	Highly resistant (HR)

3	small roundish slightly sporulating larger brown necrotic spot, about 1-2mm in diameter with a distinct margin or yellow halo, 5-10% leaf area covered by lesions	Resistant (R)
5	moderate sporulation, non-coalescing larger brown spots, about 2-4 mm in diameter with a distinct margin or yellow halo, 11-25% leaf area covered by the lesions	Moderately resistant (MR)
7	moderately sporulating, coalescing, larger brown spots about 4-5 mm in diameter, 26-50% leaf area covered by the lesions	Susceptible (S)
9	profusely sporulating, rapidly coalescing, brown to black spots measuring more than 6 mm in diameter without margins covering more than 50% leaf area	Highly susceptible (HS)

2.7 Area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC)

The area under the disease-progress curve (AUDPC) value was calculated according to formula (Jeger and Viljanen- Rollinson, 2001; Tratwal and Bocianowski, 2014):

$$AUDPC = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{y_i + y_{i-1}}{2} \right) (x_i - x_{i-1}) \right]$$

Where, AUDPC is the area under disease progress curve, y_i is the percentage of visible infected area ($y_i/100$) at the i -th observation, x_i day of the i -th observation, and n the total number of observations (modified from Shaner and Finney, 1977).

2.8 Per cent disease reduction over control

Per cent disease reduction over control was worked out by applying the formula:

$$\text{Per cent disease reduction over control} = \frac{\text{PDI in control plot} - \text{PDI in treatment plot}}{\text{PDI in control plot}}$$

2.9 Pod disease intensity

The pod disease intensity (%) was recorded as per the scale suggested by Conn *et al.* (1990).

Table 3. Grade chart for calculating pod disease intensity

Grade	Pod area covered	Reaction
0	No symptoms on pods	Immune (I)
1	Small light brown spots covering 1% or less leaf area	Highly resistant (HR)
2	Small spots (up to 5mm in size) covering 1-10% of the leaf area	Resistant (R)

3	Large spots, brown, irregular with concentric rings covering 10-25% of leaf area	Moderately resistant (MR)
4	Large brown irregular lesions with typical blight symptoms covering 25-50% of leaf area	Moderately susceptible (MS)
5	Spots enlarging, covering more than 50% of leaf area	Highly susceptible (HS)

2.10 Cost benefit ratio:

Gross returns will be calculated by multiplying total yield with the market price of the produce. Cost of cultivation and cost of treatment imposition will be deducted from the gross returns, to find out net returns and cost benefit ratio by following formula (Reddy et al., 2004).

$$\text{Cost benefit ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation}}$$

2.11 Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed using ANOVA in WASP 2.0 (Web Agri Stat Pack), ICAR, Goa. Assumptions of normality were checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test, while homogeneity of variances was assessed using Levene's test. Tukey's HSD test was performed for pairwise comparison of means to identify significant differences between treatments. All analyses were conducted at a 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of bio-agents, essential oil, botanical and elicitors were evaluated on disease parameters like per cent disease intensity (PDI) (%) at 45, 60, 75, 90 DAS, area under disease progress curve (AUDPC), per cent disease reduction over control and pod disease intensity (PDI) at 110 DAS and growth parameters like height of the plant (cm) at 45, 60, 75, 90 DAS, number of branches per plant at 110 DAS, number of siliquae per plant at 110 DAS, number of seeds per siliqua at 115 DAS, yield (t/ha), avoidable yield loss and test weight of seeds (1000 number) (gm). The following results were observed under field conditions.

3.1 Symptomatology

Symptoms were first visible on lower leaves with appearance of black points, which later enlarged to develop into prominent, round, concentric spots of various sizes. They were characterized by formation of spots on leaves, stem and siliquae. *Alternaria brassicae* can affect host species at all stages of growth including seed. On seedlings, symptoms appeared as dark lesions on stem immediately after germination that can result in damping-off, or stunted seedlings. The symptoms produced by *A. brassicae* were usually grey in colour compared with black sooty velvety spots by *A. brassicicola*. Later, round black conspicuous spots appeared on

siliquae and stem. These spots coalesced, leading to complete blackening of siliquae or weakening of the stem with formation of elongated lesions. Spots on mustard siliquae are brownish black with a distinct grey centre. When older plants became infected, symptoms often occurred on the older leaves, since they were closer to the soil and are more readily infected as a consequence of rain splash or wind-blown man. The infection of *Alternaria* blight on leaves and siliquae reduced the photosynthetic area drastically. The phase of infection on siliquae adversely affected the normal seed development, seed weight, colour of seed and percent oil content in seed and the quality of seed. The symptoms observed in the research work were also reported earlier by Meena *et al.* (2010) and Kumar and Shete (2021).

Commented [Ys5]: Add more new reference (2023 and 2024 years)




Fig 1. Typical disease symptom on leaves (A) and pods (B)

3.2 Morphological Studies of the Pathogen Mycelium

Based on morphological characteristics, the causal fungus was identified as *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk.) Sacc. Mycelia are dendroid, septate. In its early stages they appear hyaline or very light in color, thereafter gradually light to dark brown; 2–8 μm in diameter. When intercellular and also intracellular growth occurs at various parts. Conidiophores usually simple in some branching occurs, septated erect and straight or slightly sigmoid. Conidiophores are cylindrical, slightly swollen at the base, pale to mid-olivaceous brown, smooth; 4–6 μm x 6–8 μm . The conidia of *Alternaria brassicae* are dark, obclavate, and muriform, arranged in fascicles, forming chains short up to four spores. The beak occupies about one-third the conidium's length with a length of 6–10 μm ; its basal part is slightly narrowed; it is greenish brown to colourless.

Commented [Ys6]: Highlight the importance of these morphological features for distinguishing *A. brassicae* from other *Alternaria* species.



Fig 2. Microscopic view *Alternaria brassicae* conidium under 40X

3.3 Effect of selected treatments on *Alternaria* leaf blight disease intensity

The data presented in table 4 reveals that all the treatments were superior to T₀ - control in reducing disease intensity @ 90 DAS, per cent disease intensity was recorded minimum in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (32.20%) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (40.66%), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (40.83%), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (43.63%), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (45.03%) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (47.93%) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (34.93%) and T₀ - control (74.10%). Comparing the treatments with CD value (1.85), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, T₁, T₆ and T₇ are statistically significant over other treatments and the treatments, (T₃ and T₅) and (T₂ and T₄) are statistically non-significant with each other.

3.4 Effect of selected treatments on area under disease progress curve (AUDPC)

The data presented in table 4 and depicted in figure 3 reveals that all the treatments were superior to T₀ - control in reducing area under disease progress curve, minimum AUDPC was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (1245) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (1431), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (1451), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (1475), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (1566), and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (1645) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (920) and T₀ - control (2351).




Figure 3. Effect of bio-agents, essential oil, botanical and elicitors on area under disease progress curve (AUDPC)

3.5 Effect of selected treatments on per cent disease reduction over control

The data presented in table 4 reveals that all the treatments were superior to control - T₀ in reducing the disease. Per cent disease reduction over control was found maximum in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (48.87%) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (44.11%), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (43.88%) T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (40.03%), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15 % (38.11%) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (34.10%) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (51.99%) and T₀ - control.

3.6 Effect of selected treatments on avoidable yield loss

The data presented in table 4 reveals that all the treatments were superior to T₀ - control in reducing yield loss, maximum avoidable yield loss was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (35.70%) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (31.43%), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (30.36%), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (27.79%), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15 % (27.33%) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (20.05%) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (38.14%) and T₀ - control.

3.7 Effect of selected treatments on per cent disease intensity on pods

The data presented in table 4 and figure 4 reveals that all the treatments were superior to T₀ - control in reducing per cent disease intensity on pods @ 110 DAS, minimum per disease intensity was recorded in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (18.73%) followed by, T₃ -

Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (19.80%), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (20.46%), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (25.33%), T₄ - neem leaf extract @ 15 % (25.46%) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (27.33%) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (13.0%) and T₀ - control (35.46%). Comparing the treatments with CD value (2.10), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, T₇ is statistically significant over other treatments and the treatments, (T₄ and T₆), (T₂ and T₄), (T₃ and T₅) and (T₁ and T₃) are statistically non- significant with each other.




Figure 4. Effect of bio-agents, essential oil, botanical and elicitors on per cent disease intensity on pods

3.8 Effect of selected treatments on plant height @ 90 DAS

The data presented in table 5 reveals that among all treatments, maximum plant height (cm) @ 90 DAS was found in @ 2ml/L (189 cm) which was superior over all treatments, followed by T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10 g/kg (178.5cm), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10 g/kg (176cm), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100mg (175.6cm), T₃ - Eucalyptus oil 0.2 % (171.3cm) and T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (170cm) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (173cm) and T₀ - control (159.7cm). Comparing the treatments with CD value (1.94), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, T₁ and T₆ are statistically significant over other treatments and the treatments (T₄ and T₃), (T₃ and T₇) and (T₅ and T₂) are statistically non-significant with each other.

3.9 Effect of treatments on number of branches per plant of mustard

The data presented in table 5 reveals that among all treatments, highest number of

branches/plant @ 110 DAS was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (8.20) followed by, T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (8.13), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (6.53), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (5.80), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (5.40) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (4.60) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (8.86) and T₀ - control (3.66). Comparing the treatments with CD value (0.58), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, T₅, T₆ and T₇ are statistically significant over other treatments and the treatments (T₄ and T₂) and (T₃ and T₁) are statistically non-significant with each other.

3.10 Effect of treatments on number of siliquae per plant of mustard

The data presented in table 5 reveals that among all treatments, highest number of siliquae/plant @ 110 DAS was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (246.2) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (227.8), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (214), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (196.5), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (185.3) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (172.4) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (265.6) and T₀ - control (131.1). Comparing the treatments with CD value (4.15), all the treatments are statistically significant over control and are statistically significant with each other.

3.11 Effect of treatments on number of seeds per siliqua of mustard

The data presented in table 5 reveals that among all treatments, highest number of seeds/siliqua @ 115 DAS was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (13.13) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (12.93), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (12.53), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (12.33), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15 % (11.40) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (10.40) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (15.06) and T₀ - control (7.53). Comparing the treatments with CD value (0.90), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, T₆ and T₇ are statistically significant over other treatments and the treatments (T₄ and T₂), (T₂ and T₅), (T₅ and T₃) and (T₃ and T₁) are statistically non-significant with each other.

3.12 Effect of treatments on the yield of mustard

The data presented in table 5 and figure 5 reveals that among all treatments, yield (t/ha) was found maximum in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (1.631 t/ha) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (1.521 t/ha), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (1.498 t/ha), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (1.445 t/ha), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15 % (1.436 t/ha) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (1.305 t/ha) as compared to treated check T₇ -

Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (1.686 t/ha) and T₀ - control (1.043 t/ha). Comparing the treatments with CD value (0.16), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments (T₆ and T₄), (T₄ and T₂), (T₂ and T₅), (T₅ and T₃), (T₃ and T₁) and (T₁ and T₇) are statistically non-significant with each other.




Figure 5. Effect of treatments on the yield of mustard

3.13 Effect of treatments on test weight (1000 seeds) (gm) of mustard

The data presented in table 5 reveals that among all treatments, maximum test weight (1000 seed) (gm) was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (4.46 gm) followed by T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 % (4.23 gm), T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (4.23 gm), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (4.06 gm), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (3.90 gm) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (3.63 gm) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (4.66 gm) and T₀ - control (3.10 gm). Comparing the treatments with CD value (0.50), all the treatments are statistically significant over control. Among the treatments, (T₆ and T₄), (T₄ and T₂), (T₂ and T₅), (T₅ and T₃), (T₃ and T₁) and (T₁ and T₇) are statistically non-significant with each other.

3.14 Cost-benefit ratio of mustard as influenced by selected treatments

The data presented in table 6 reveals that among all treatments, highest cost benefit ratio was found in T₁ - *Trichoderma viride* @ 10g/L (1:2.37) followed by T₅ - Salicylic acid @ 100ppm (1:2.23), T₄ - Neem leaf extract @ 15% (1:2.11), T₃ - Eucalyptus oil @ 0.2 %

(1:2.04), T₂ - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 10g/L (1:2.00) and T₆ - *Ascophyllum nodosum* @ 2ml/L (1:1.90) as compared to treated check T₇ - Mancozeb @ 0.2 % (1:2.46) and T₀ - control (1:1.58).

The seed treatment and foliar spray of *T. viride* @ 10g/L thrice at 15 days interval significantly reduced disease intensity on leaves and pods, AUDPC and significantly increased the per cent disease reduction over control, avoidable yield loss, number of branches, number of siliquae per plant, number of seeds per siliqua, yield, test weight and cost benefit ratio as compared to all other treatments. The most likely reason is that *Trichoderma viride* species have many qualities and have a high potential for use in agriculture, such as amending abiotic stresses, improving physiological response to stresses, and assisting in the improvement of photosynthetic efficiency, mycoparasitism and antibiosis, extracellular enzyme secretion, and hyphae penetration and lysis. Antagonism could be caused by nutrient and niche competitors, antibiosis caused by the generation of volatile components, and non-volatile antibiotics **Lahlali et al. (2022)**. Inhibitory activity of *Trichoderma* spp. may be due to secretion of extracellular cell degrading enzymes such as chitinase, β -1,3-glucanase, cellulose, lectin and other secondary metabolites such as glioviridin, viridian and gliotoxin which may help mycoparasites in colonization of host **Kakraliya et al. (2018)**. Similar results were discovered by **Raghuvanshi et al. (2021)**; **Yarasani and Zacharia (2021)** and **Devi et al. (2024)**. *Ascophyllum nodosum* applied at 2 ml/L was found to be effective in increasing plant height. It showed greater effectiveness during the vegetative phase; however, its efficacy declined during the reproductive phase against the pathogen compared to bioagents.

Commented [Ys7]: The paragraph ends with a mention of the declining efficacy of *Ascophyllum nodosum* during the reproductive phase. You might want to expand on this with further explanation or discussion of why this decline occurs and how it compares to other treatments.

Table 4. Effect of selected treatments on disease parameters

Treatment no.	Per cent disease intensity on leaves				AUDPC	Per cent disease reduction over control	AYL	PDI on Pods
	45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS				110 DAS
T ₀	19.96	44.86	56.96	74.10	2351	-	-	35.46
T ₁	10.40 ^a	24	30	37.20	1245	48.87	35.72	18.73 ^a
T ₂	11.53 ^a	27.50 ^{bc}	35.90 ^b	43.63 ^b	1451	40.03	27.79	25.33 ^c
T ₃	16.50 ^b	25.80 ^a	32.80 ^a	40.66 ^a	1431	44.11	31.43	19.80 ^{ab}
T ₄	17.80 ^{de}	27.70 ^a	36.83 ^b	45.03 ^b	1566	38.11	27.33	25.46 ^{cd}
T ₅	18.36 ^e	26.63 ^{ab}	33.23 ^a	40.83 ^a	1475	43.88	30.36	20.46 ^b
T ₆	16.53 ^{bc}	30.26	38.93	47.93	1645	34.12	20.05	27.33 ^d
T ₇	16.53 ^{cd}	22	27.80	34.93	920	51.99	38.14	13.00
S.Em(±)	0.51	0.52	0.60	0.60	-	-	-	0.69
C.D.(p=0.05)	1.58	1.63	1.83	1.85	-	-	-	2.10

* Average of three replications.

Values in the same column followed with similar alphabet are non-significant to each other at (p=0.05).

Table 5. Effect of selected treatments on growth and yield parameters

Treatment No.	Number of leaves				No. of branches	No. of siliquae/ plant	No. of seeds/ siliqua	Yield t/ha	Test weight 1000 seeds (gm)
	45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS					
T ₀	19.40	92.1	148.8	159.7	3.66	133.1	7.53	1.043	3.10
T ₁	34.00	121.6 ^c	169.2 ^{cd}	178.5	8.20 ^b	246.2	13.13 ^d	1.631 ^{ef}	4.46 ^{ef}
T ₂	32.10	116.6	168.3 ^{bc}	176 ^c	5.80 ^a	196.5	12.33 ^{ab}	1.445 ^{bc}	4.06 ^{bc}
T ₃	21.10 ^{ab}	107.7 ^{ab}	161.8 ^a	171.3 ^{ab}	8.13 ^b	227.8	12.93 ^{cd}	1.521 ^{de}	4.23 ^{de}
T ₄	22.00 ^{bc}	106.7 ^a	159.8	170 ^a	5.40 ^a	185.3	11.40 ^a	1.436 ^{ab}	3.90 ^{ab}
T ₅	20.80 ^a	111.5	167.8 ^b	175.6 ^c	6.53	214	12.53 ^{bc}	1.498 ^{cd}	4.23 ^{cd}
T ₆	23.30	122.9 ^c	171 ^d	189	4.60	172.4	10.40	1.305 ^a	3.63 ^a
T ₇	22.00 ^c	109 ^b	163.2 ^a	173 ^b	8.86	265.6	15.06	1.686 ^f	4.66 ^f
S.Em(±)	0.37	0.60	0.61	0.64	0.19	1.37	0.29	0.05	0.16
C.D.(p=0.05)	1.19	1.85	1.89	1.94	0.58	4.15	0.90	0.16	0.50

* Average of three replications.

Values in the same column followed with similar alphabet are non-significant to each other at (p=0.05).

Table 6. Cost-benefit ratio of mustard as influenced by selected treatments

Tr. No.	Total cost of cultivation	Yield t/ha	Gross return	Net return	C:B ratio
T ₀	34956	1.043	55336	20380	1:1.58
T ₁	36410	1.631	86540	50130	1:2.37
T ₂	37682	1.445	76642	38960	1:2
T ₃	39456	1.521	80705	41249	1:2.04
T ₄	36056	1.436	76165	40109	1:2.11
T ₅	35562.2	1.498	79453	43890.8	1:2.23
T ₆	36242	1.305	69217	32975	1:1.9
T ₇	36323	1.686	89425	53102	1:2.46

* Average of three replications.

Values in the same column followed with similar alphabet are non-significant to each other at (p=0.05).

CONCLUSION

The present research focuses on demonstrating the alternative eco-friendly approaches to be potential to counter *Alternaria brassicae*, one of the critical pathogens that cause significant yield loss in mustard. *T. viride* demonstrated its inherent ability to enhance plant defences through the induction of necessary defense enzymes while in parallel supporting plant growth development. Mostly all the treatments act as a sustainable and eco-friendly alternative to chemical fungicides in line with the international call for IDM approaches aimed at minimizing plant diseases while maintaining ecological integrity. However, this research is limited to just one crop season under agro-climatic conditions of Prayagraj. For broad applicability and validation, multi-seasonal trials in different agro-climatic regions are required. Such extended studies will confirm the consistency and reliability of the results and pave way for comprehensive recommendations for large-scale adoption in mustard disease management practices.

Commented [Ys8]: It might be helpful to briefly suggest the kind of parameters that should be considered in future trials (e.g., different climatic conditions, various stages of crop development, etc.).

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Ann Rose, J., Barath, B., & Zacharia, S. (2023). Effect of botanical extracts and essential oils on *Alternaria brassicae* (Berk.) Sacc. of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 4484–4490. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i103126>
2. Anonymous. (2018). All India Coordinated Research Project on Rapeseed-Mustard – Proceedings of the 26th Annual Group Meeting. ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research. Sewar, Bharatpur, Rajasthan.
3. Bach, E., Seger, G. D. D. S., Fernandes, G. D. C., Lisboa, B. B., & Passaglia, L. M. P. (2016). Evaluation of biological control and rhizosphere competence of plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 99, 141–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.11.002>
4. Barath, B., Ann Rose, J., & Zacharia, S. (2023). Effect of bio-agents and elicitors on *Alternaria brassicae* of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 3724–3731.
5. Chattopadhyay, C. (2008). Management of diseases of rapeseed-mustard with special reference to Indian conditions. *Agrotech Publishing Academy*, 364–388.
6. Conn, K. L., Tiwari, J. P., & Awasthi, R. P. (1990). A disease assessment key for *Alternaria* black spot in rapeseed and mustard. *Canadian Plant Disease Survey*, 70(1), 19–22.
7. Grahovac, M., Indic, D., Vukovic, S., Hrustic, J., Gvozdenac, S., Mihajlovic, M., & Tanovic, B. (2012). Morphological and ecological features as differentiation criteria for different species. *Zemdirbyste Agriculture*, 99(2), 189–196.
8. Hossain, M. A., & Mian, I. H. (2004). Effect of foliar fungicides on the control of *Alternaria* blight of cabbage seed crop. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 20(1), 43–48.
9. Kakraliya, S. S., Choskit, D., Pandit, D., & Abrol, S. (2018). Effect of bio-agents, neem leaf extract, and fungicides against *Alternaria* leaf blight of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*

- L.). *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 7(1), 23–24.
10. Kolte, S. J., Awasthi, R. D., & Viswanath. (1987). Assessment of yield losses due to *Alternaria* blight in rapeseed and mustard. *Indian Phytopathology*, 40, 209–211.
 11. Kumar, D., Maurya, N., Bharti, Y. K., Kumar, A., Kumar, K., Srivastava, K., Chand, G., Kushwaha, C., Singh, S. K., Mishra, R. K., & Kumar, A. (2014). *Alternaria* blight of oilseed Brassicas: A comprehensive review. *African Journal of Microbiology*, 8(30), 2816–2829.
 12. Kumar, M., Zacharia, S., & Lal, A. A. (2019). Management of *Alternaria* blight of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) by botanicals, *Trichoderma harzianum*, and fungicides. *Plant Archives*, 19(1), 1108–1113.
 13. Lahlali, R., Ezrari, S., Radouane, N., Kenfaoui, J., Esmael, Q., El Hamss, H., Belabess, Z., & Ait Barka, E. (2022). Biological control of plant pathogens: A global perspective. *Microorganisms*, 10(3), 596.
 14. Mahapatra, S., & Das, S. (2013). Bioefficacy of botanicals against *Alternaria* leaf blight of mustard under field conditions. *The Bioscan*, 8(2), 675–679.
 15. Meena, P. D., Awasthi, R. P., Chattopadhyay, C., Kolte, S. J., & Kumar, A. (2010). *Alternaria* blight: A chronic disease in rapeseed-mustard. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 1(1), 1–11.
 16. Meena, P. D., Gupta, R., Sharma, A. R. P., & Singh, D. (2016). Effect of summer temperatures on survival of *Alternaria brassicae* in infected Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) debris and thermal death point variations among geographical isolates. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 1(1), 45–51.
 17. Raghuvanshi, P., Zacharia, S., Singh, S., & Singh, H. N. (2021). Efficacy of botanicals and *Trichoderma viride* against *Alternaria* leaf blight (*Alternaria brassicicola*) of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(03), 441–446.
 18. Rathore, S. S., Shekhawat, K., Meena, P. D., & Singh, V. K. (2018). Climate-smart strategies for sustainable production of rapeseed-mustard in India. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 9(1), 1–9.
 19. Reddy, V. R., Reddy, P. P., & Kumar, U. H. (2004). Ecological and economic aspects of shrimp farming in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Economics*, 20(1), 172–175.
 20. Sindhu, S. S., Sehwat, A., Sharma, R., & Dahiya, A. (2016). Biopesticides: Use of

rhizosphere bacteria for biological control of plant pathogens. *Defence Life Science Journal*, 1, 135–148.

21. Thomas, J., Kuruvilla, K. M., & Hrideek, T. K. (2012). Mustard. In *Handbook of Herbs and Spices* (pp. 388–398). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857095671.388>
22. Wadhvani, K., & Dudheja, S. K. (1982). The primary source of inoculum of leaf spot diseases of *Brassica juncea* due to *Alternaria*. *Indian Botanical Repr.*, 1, 162–163.
23. Wheeler, B. E. J. (1969). *An introduction to plant diseases*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
24. Yarasani, G., & Zacharia, S. (2021). Efficacy of selected bio-agents and botanicals against *Alternaria* blight (*Alternaria brassicae*) of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(2), 451–454.
25. Devi, T. D., Maisnam, G., Kangjam, D., & Adison, R. (2024). Management of *Alternaria* blight disease of mustard through biofungicides. *BIO Web of Conferences*, 110, 01004. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202411001004>
26. Shaner, G., & Finney, R. E. (1977). The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the expression of slow-mildewing resistance in Knox wheat. *Phytopathology*, 67, 1051–1056.
27. Jeger, M. J., & Viljanen-Rollinson, S. L. H. (2001). The use of the area under the disease-progress curve (AUDPC) to assess quantitative disease resistance in crop cultivars. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 102, 32–40.
28. Tratwal, A., & Bocianowski, J. (2014). *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* virulence frequency and the powdery mildew incidence on spring barley in the Wielkopolska province. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 54(1), 28–35.

Supportive Figures




Plate 1. Pure culture of *Alternaria brassicae*




Plate 2. Disease grade chart on mustard leaves



Plate 3. Experimental