

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130474
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of floral visitors and foraging behaviour of major bee species on buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum M.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript delivers valuable insights into the ecological role of Buckwheat floral visitors and their significance to both the ecosystem and agricultural productivity. By documenting the abundance and diversity of floral visitors, it improves the proper understanding of plant-pollinator interactions, which is essential for the sustainable agriculture and biodiversity conservation. The findings of the manuscript are helpful to make strategies for the improvement of crop yields and enhancing of ecosystem health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, there is no need to change the title of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Better to start the abstract with 1-2 lines of the importance of pollinators.- Also provide “what you did and where you conduct the experiment (in 1-2 lines).- Write the closing sentence related to the importance of your experiment or what is your conclusion and what should be done in the future.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Introduction (Should be rewritten):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Few of the paragraphs in introduction looks like a review of literature (rephrased the results from abstract of a paper).- Add a paragraph or few lines regarding Why this study is important for this region.- Objectives are missing.- Make a continuity between the sentences and paragraphs in introduction. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Results are written in detailed, So, summarize the results.- Discussion is totally missing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The whole manuscript lacks references (as the discussion portion is totally missing).	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well written.	
Optional/General comments	Although it’s a good study but authors need to rewrite the introduction and add discussion. Moreover, no objectives are provided in the introduction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waseem Akram
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Centre, Pakistan