

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130417
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript share information to improve chick pea plant for future breeding through considered listed desirable traits	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title was suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it was compressive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Limitation on methodology part. Only number of genotype was written but not know list of genotypes they used. Only parameters written like plant height (cm) but not each parameters recorded ways. Other was how much experimental unit was used to conduct experiment. How many row used how many row used for data collection...etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference was not sufficient and recent better to use updated one	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good but need modification	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I write result and discussion part you are simply listed and discussed PCV GCV Heritability and other without listing the table so how can reader understand your writing way better to mention tables for your result and but CV% in ANOVA table	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rameto Roba Gure
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia