## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130158
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of microbiological contamination levels of some tomatoes samples produced and sold in Niamey,
Type of the Article	

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

## ey, Niger

## Review Form 3 PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (P part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is concerned with an issue that is relevant to all, the potential transmission of harmful things through tomatoes. Given that tomatoes are a rich source of nutrition for humans, it is necessary to ensure that their consumption is safe. This issue is part of a broader issue to supply contamination-free food. The paper is thus relevant to the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of English is all right.	
ptional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed v highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Amartya Kumar Bhattacharya
Department, University & Country	India

<b>ck</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that cript. It is mandatory that authors should write here)				

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write