

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofAdvancesinBiology&Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_130141
TitleoftheManuscript:	Lowerucicacid contentrapeseedmutantvarietyBINASarisha12
Type oftheArticle	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific and professional community because it points to potential new and high-quality food sources, new and improved raw materials, not only in the food industry, but also in other branches of industry, as well as in agriculture. This manuscript describes potentially valuable materials in the food industry and other industries, as well as in agriculture as well, that have not been significantly explored and analyzed so far. In the modern conditions of increased population and food shortages, it is very important to find safe and high-quality sources of food and materials in agriculture and the food industry, to which this scientific work contributes.	
Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is.	
Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive, and I do not suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section.	
Isthe manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically partially correct. There are not enough relevant references in this paper. In particular, there are no references for comparing the obtained research results, i.e., there are primarily no results from relevant domestic and international literature that deals with this topic in a broader and/or narrower sense, because no matter how innovative the topic is, something has already been done and published in this area at the global scientific level. It is therefore necessary to find relevant sources of literature and compare the results that the researchers came up with during this research (the results of which are represented in this paper). Only in this way can this important, interesting and innovative topic be and should be comprehensively scientifically analysed and presented. References should also be added to the Materials and methods section of this paper. References should also be added to the applied methods for statistical processing of the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is a lack of references, especially references for comparison, i.e. primarily the results from the relevant domestic and international literature dealing with, i.e. which until now dealt with this topic in a broader and/or narrower sense. It is necessary to find relevant sources of literature and to compare with them the results reached by the researchers during this research (the results of which are represented in this paper). References should also be added to the Materials and methods section of this paper. References should also be added to the applied methods for statistical processing of the results.	
Isthe language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the language/English of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In addition to the above, it would be necessary to thoroughly check the spelling of this paper and make all necessary corrections (for example, there should always be a space after the punctuation mark a "period", and the same applies after "the parentheses", both before and after "the parentheses" there should always be a space, etc.).	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamara Premović
Department, University & Country	"Union-Nikola Tesla" University in Belgrade, Serbia