Original Research Article

Characterization of begomovirus isolates causing YMD in soybean and analyzing the relation with early reported strains of begomovirus from New Delhi region

ABSTRACT

Aims: This work was focused on the exact characterization of begomovirus correlated with YMD in soybean during the monsoon season. This information helped to understand the time-bound status of the viral group.
Study design: augmented design and was carried out at the research field.
Place and Duration of Study: Starting material source for pure viral DNA Initial source material consisted of soybean plants (JS335) with a prominent yellow mosaic symptom that were grown in sick plots under controlled conditions in order to obtain a DNA samples that also contained the genomes of begomoviruses.
Methodology: The experiment used an augmented design and was carried out at the research field in New Delhi during the 2018 kharif season. In each replication, the susceptible cultivar (JS335) genotypes were planted for the establishment of a sick soybean plot for YMD development purposes. A limited number of MYMIV DNA-A sequences was used to create the phylogenetic tree. Clustal We was used to align the sequences, with the default gap penalty values of gap opening 10 and extension 0.2. A neighbor-joining tree was built using MEGA 11.0, a pdistance model, and pairwise gap deletion.
Results: The viral genome was amplified and sequenced. Sequence research revealed that the viral genome is 2747 nucleotides long, similar to monopartite begomoviruses, and has seven conserved ORFs. Further nucleotide (nts) sequence comparisons revealed that the genome had the maximum sequence identity of 99%, which is identical to the previously reported sequence. The DNA-A of MYMIV (GenBank accession number: OQ473638) was made from 2747 nucleotides that were 99% the same as the sequence that had already been reported.
Conclusion: Even though legume yellow mosaic viruses (LYMVs) cause significant yield loss in legume group, investigations on the evolutionary lineage of LYMVs are extremely rare. Previously, that Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) are the primary begomoviruses causing yellow mosaic disease (YMD) of soybeans in India. This demonstrated that our isolate of MYMIV was more similar to the one that was already recognized to be Mu2 New Delhi isolate.

Commented [LP1]: The first time an acronym is going to be mentioned it must be defined.

Commented [LP2]: It could be better to detect the presence of Begomovirus

Commented [LP3]: Apparently this word is superfluous in the text.

Commented [LP4R3]: It is suggested that the wording be improved to indicate that Clustal W was used to perform the sequence alignments.

Commented [LP5]: This is still a methodology since the results must include the characteristics of said genome.

Commented [LP6]: It is suggested that the wording be improved, for example, sequencing revealed that the viral genome...

Commented [LP7]: This is not a conclusion of this study, since it appears to be part of the introduction. It is suggested that the conclusion be focused on the findings obtained in the work carried out.

1.INTRODUCTION

Soybean, a plant-based edible oil, contributes 25% to global edible oil production, providing protein concentrate for livestock feeding and essential components in poultry and fish feeds, despite limited production in countries like the USA, Brazil, Argentina, China, and India.

Soybean has seen 35 diseases, with 14 causing significant yield loss in India (Wrather et al., 2010). India is the fifth largest soybean grower, with a production of 10.45 million metric tons on 12.7 million hectares. Yellow mosaic disease is a major soybean disease that affects Uttarakhand, Punjab, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Delhi, and Karnataka. Yellow mosaic disease (YMD) is one of the most damaging diseases affecting soybean output over time. YMD is one of the most prevalent viral diseases, especially in the northern, northeastern, and central regions of India, where it causes yield losses of up to 80 percent. The disease is mostly caused by the mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV), the mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), the horsegram yellow mosaic virus (HgYMV), and the dolichos yellow mosaic virus. These viruses, generally known as legume yellow mosaic viruses (LYMVs), generate distinctive yellow mosaic patterns on leaf surfaces (Qazi et al., 2007). The most definitive symptom of soybean YMD is the presence of contrast yellow green spots (mottles) on the leaves, and under severe conditions, diseased leaves turn yellow, leaving the veins green. Yellow dots or mottles of varying sizes emerged on juvenile leaves. As the infection worsened, the affected leaves went virtually yellow, while the main veins remained green. Yellow green patches (mottles) on the leaves were the most noticeable symptoms on all infected lines. Rusty necrotic patches were also observed on heavily diseased leaves (Amrate et al. 2020).

YMD was limited to India's northern plains until the early 1970s, when it began to spread to central India gradually. Nonetheless, no prevalent varieties growing in central India are resistant to YMD, which is concerning for soybean growers and companies throughout the region (Amrate et al., 2023). The disease was successfully spread by the whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.). The whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Genn., is a damaging insect pest that sucks phloem sap from the lower surface of leaves and serves as a vector for the spread of mungbean yellow mosaic virus disease in soybeans. It has been suggested that synthetic and natural pesticides can be employed to control vectors in order to manage YMD, but this is neither a long-term solution nor a profitable business opportunity. Pesticides can also be hazardous if used improperly. Vectors can become resistant to them, causing pollution in the environment. So, the only approach to prevent YMD in areas where it is a major issue for cultivating grain legumes is to adopt resistant types. This is because resistant variants are practical, effective, inexpensive, environmentally friendly, and long-lasting. Although traditional breeding has resulted in various kinds of blackgram and mungbean that are resistant to LYMVs. This work was focused on the exact characterization of begomovirus correlated with YMD in soybean during the monsoon

Commented [LP8]: It is suggested that the wording be improved, since they are referring to the soybean plant but suddenly there is an allusion to oil and proteins. It could be like this: The soybean plant is of great economic importance because it is used to produce soybean oil, animal feed, etc. Soybeans are grown in different countries such as ....

Commented [LP9R8]: Thirty-five diseases have been described in soybean plant, of which fourteen have been reported causing significant yield and productivity losses in India...

Commented [LP10]: Cite the reference from which this data is extracted

Commented [LP11]: Cite the reference from which this data is extracted

Commented [LP12]: Cite the reference from which this data is extracted

Commented [LP13]: Cite the reference from which this data is extracted

season of 2018-19. This information helped to understand the time-bound status of the viral group.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Field conditions: sick plot

The experiment used an augmented design and was carried out at the research field in New Delhi during the 2018 kharif season. In each replication, the susceptible cultivar (JS335) genotypes were planted in 2m-long rows with a 40 cm row-to-row and 10 cm plant-to-plant spacing. Seeds were hand-planted 10 cm apart in a row. The crop was grown according to a standard set of practices. Ten competitive plants were randomly selected from each treatment in each repetition. That experimental setup was required for the establishment of a sick soybean plot for YMD development purposes.

Commented [LP14]: What is the size of the study area?

Commented [LP15]: What would be the control treatment in this case?

2.2 Sample collection and isolation of viral DNA

Starting material source for pure viral DNA Initial source material consisted of soybean plants (JS335) with a prominent yellow mosaic symptom that were grown in sick plots under controlled conditions in order to obtain a plant DNA ~~sample in order to detected begomoviruses that also contained the genomes of begomoviruses~~and their genomes, which was used to establish the disease from the field to the controlled environment of the field. Originally, immature leaves feature scattered yellow spots, and the subsequent trifoliate leaves that emerge from the rising apex have alternating yellow and green spots. Spots expand over time, and finally some leaves turn entirely yellow. Moreover, infected leaves develop necrotic symptoms. The DNA sample used in this investigation was extracted from the third or fourth leaf from the plant's meristematic area (**Fig.1**).

Fig.1: Initial source material consisted of soybean plants (JS335) with a prominent yellow mosaic symptom that were grown in sick plots under controlled conditions in order to obtain a DNA sample

2.3 DNA extraction from plant samples

Total DNA was extracted from the soybean plants listed above that were creating yellow mosaic and deformation symptoms in soybean, using a method first reported by basic research and then modified. Before being employed in full genomic amplification of plant viruses, all DNA extracts were 100 times diluted in sterile distilled water (SDW). Using a Nano Drop TM 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA), extracted DNA was quantified and diluted to 0.1 g/l. Loading 1 l of sample DNA and 1 l of control genomic DNA (0.1 g/l; included with Clontech's Genome Walking kit) onto a 0.6% agarose/EtBr gel with a 1 kb Plus DNA ladder confirmed the size and purity.

Commented [LP16]: What is the method? Cite the document where it had been previously reported

Commented [LP17]: Whole genomic amplification (WGA)?

Commented [LP18R17]: How was this amplification performed?

Commented [LP19]: It is suggested to review the units

2.4 Detailed phylogeny analysis of MYMIV genome

A limited number of MYMIV DNA-A sequences was used to create the phylogenetic tree. Clustal We was used to align the sequences, with the default gap penalty values of gap opening 10 and extension 0.2. A neighbor-joining tree was built using MEGA 11.0, a pdistance model, and pairwise gap deletion (Tamura et al., 2013). The bootstrap support of tree branches was determined by resampling amino acid locations 1000 times.

Commented [LP20]: Review the wording to indicate that an alignment was performed using the Clustal W tool.

Commented [LP21]: revise the wording to indicate that a phylogenetic tree was created using the neighbor-joining method and the ... model.

Commented [LP22]: The accession of all the sequences used to build the phylogenetic tree should be mentioned and the methodology used should be expanded.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Total DNA was taken from soybean (G. max) leaves that showed signs of yellow mosaic. To use Pfu polymerase to make more copies of the genome, a pair of oligonucleotide primers made from the published DNA-A sequence of MYMIV were used. The cloned PCR product was sequenced and matched up with the sequence that had already been published. The DNA-A product (GenBank accession number: OQ473638) was made from 2747 nucleotides that were 99% the same as the sequence that had already been reported.



Fig 2: DNA A encodes replication-associated proteins (ORF AC1 and AC3), transcription activator protein (ORF AC2), and symptom-determinant protein (ORF AC4) and also the coat protein gene (ORF AV1) and the precoat protein gene (ORF AV2) on the viral strand.

DNA A codes for both the coat protein gene (ORF AV1) and the precoat protein gene (ORF AV2) on the viral strand. On the other strand, DNA A encodes replication-associated proteins (ORF AC1 and AC3), transcription activator protein (ORF AC2), and symptom-determinant protein (ORF AC4) **(Fig. 2)**. A phylogenetic tree of the MYMIV DNA-A genome was constructed using only a few MYMIV DNA-A isolates. DNA A, (ORF AV2) codes for the coat protein gene (ORF AV1) and the pre-coat protein gene on the viral strand **(Fig. 2)**. DNA A opposing strand encodes replication-associated proteins (ORF AC1 and AC3), transcription activator protein (ORF AC2), and symptom-determinant protein (ORF AC4). A phylogenetic tree of the MYMIV DNA-A genome was created using a small number of MYMIV DNA-A isolates. Although the tree revealed multiple subgroups, the roots of the majority of the significant subgroups had

Commented [LP23]: The discussion is very poor and does not show recent articles. It is suggested to include more current literature such as this work that could be more useful hhttps://www.researchgate.net/publication/368877885_Molecular_Epidemiology_of_Begomoviruses_Infecting_Mungbean_from_Yellow_Mosaic_Disease_Hotspot_Regions_of_India https://arccjournals.com/journal/legume-research-an-international-journal/LR-5247 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/41944637_Two_new_%27legumoviruses%27_genus_Begomovirus_naturally_infecting_soybean_in_Nigeria/figures?lo=1 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.03.27.534342.full

Commented [LP24]: This refers to methodology, not to the results obtained. It is suggested to include this in the respective methodology.

Commented [LP25]: improve the wording, was it developed? or was it determined that it was said genome because it had 99% similarity with the sequence reported in the literature?

Commented [LP26]: This refers to a DNA-A genome diagram of the virus and should be mentioned in the name of the diagram. The genome is composed of the xxxxxx sequences that encode xxxxx.

Commented [LP27]: In what positions of the sequence were the regions that code for these proteins found? It is important to mention this in the results.

inadequate bootstrap support, so we were unable to use this phylogenetic analysis to develop a naming scheme for MYMIV DNA-A genomic groups. In the neighbor-joining tree, our isolates VR2 New Delhi and Mu2 New Delhi were combined. This demonstrated that our isolate was more similar to the one that was already recognized to be Mu2 New Delhi isolate (**Fig.3**). Even though legume yellow mosaic viruses (LYMVs) cause significant yield loss in legume group, investigations on the evolutionary lineage of LYMVs are extremely rare. Previously, that Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) are the primary begomoviruses causing yellow mosaic disease (YMD) of soybeans in India. This study characterized the full genome sequence of begomovirus, which causes yellow mosaic disease of soybeans in the delhi region of India. MYMV is the most common isolate of yellow mosaic virus infecting mungbean in Western and Southern India, while MYMIV is more prevalent in India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nepal, and Vietnam (Malathi and John, 2009),

Fig.3: The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-4868.00) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown above the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the Neighbor-Joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Tamura-Nei model. This analysis involved 9 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 2747 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA11.

Commented [LP28]: The name of the graph should be: Phylogenetic tree of the XXXX virus genome A constructed using the xxxx method.

Commented [LP29]: There is an inconsistency here because the methodology and the body of the results mention that the phylogeny method is neighbor-joining with 1000 bootstraps. It is suggested that the respective clarifications be made and that the methodology used in the study be consistent.

Commented [LP30]: Phylogenetic tree

4. CONCLUSION

Even though legume yellow mosaic viruses (LYMVs) cause significant yield loss in legume group, investigations on the evolutionary lineage of LYMVs are extremely rare. Previously, that Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) are the primary begomoviruses causing yellow mosaic disease (YMD) of soybeans in India. This demonstrated that our isolate of MYMIV was more similar to the one that was already recognized to be Mu2 New Delhi isolate.

Commented [LP31]: They are not conclusions of this study, but rather an introduction.

Ethical Statement

Our manuscript entitled 'Characterization of begomovirus isolates causing YMD in soybean and analyzing the relation with early reported strains of begomovirus from New Delhi region' complies with the Ethical Rules applicable to the journal.

REFERENCE

Amrate, P. K., Shrivastava, M. K., Borah, M., Routhu, G. K., Sharma, S., Nataraj, V., ... & Singh, G. (2023). Molecular characterization of soybean yellow mosaic virus isolates and identification of stable resistance sources in central India. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, *52*(3), 165-179.

Qazi, J., Ilyas, M., Mansoor, S., & Briddon, R. W. (2007). Legume yellow mosaic viruses: genetically isolated begomoviruses. *Molecular plant pathology*, *8*(4), 343-348.

Wrather, A., Shannon, G., Balardin, R., Carregal, L., Escobar, R., Gupta, G. K., ... & Tenuta, A. (2010). Effect of diseases on soybean yield in the top eight producing countries in 2006. *Plant Health Progress*, *11*(1), 29.

Tamura, K., & Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular biology and evolution*, *10*(3), 512-526.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., & Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Molecular biology and evolution*, *38*(7), 3022-3027.

Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *evolution*, *39*(4), 783-791.

Malathi, V. C., & John, P. (2009). Mungbean yellow mosaic viruses. *Desk encyclopedia of plant and fungal virology*, 217-226.