Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130048
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation prioritization of forest communities and habitats in the Manasbal Range of Sindh Forest Division of Jammi
Type of the Article	Conservation prioritization of forest communities and habitats in the Manasbal Range of Sindh Forest Division of Jammu

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u> mu and Kashmir. mu and Kashmir.

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here,
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript focuses on the conservation prioritization of forest communities and habitats in the Manasbal Range of the Sindh Forest Division, located in Jammu and Kashmir. By identifying and analyzing the ecological significance of these forest communities, the study provides valuable insights into regional biodiversity, supporting targeted conservation efforts and sustainable habitat management in this critical area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Conservation Prioritization of Forest Communities and Habitats in the Manasbal Range of Sindh Forest Division of Jammu and Kashmir" is clear and informative	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	While the current references provide a solid reference, incorporating more recent references would be advantageous. This would help to reflect the latest research trends and advancements in the field, ensuring that the manuscript remains aligned with current scientific discussions on the floristic composition and conservation of similar regions.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
tional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed v highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soukayna Boudik
Department, University & Country	Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and script. It is mandatory that authors should write