

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130048
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation prioritization of forest communities and habitats in the Manasbal Range of Sindh Forest Division of Jammu and Kashmir.
Type of the Article	Research article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript written well and explain the things in scientific way, there are some suggestion inserted in manuscript as comment	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Suggested title was mentioned in manuscript in title section	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but some Suggestions were mentioned in manuscript in abstract section	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, Manuscript really useful and written in scientific way authors have explained all the things in well manner but few of suggestion that need to be added during revision	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but most of the references are incomplete, and also mentioned in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Addiselem Yasin
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia