Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129550
Title of the Manuscript:	PRINCIPLE COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA) OF WHEAT GENOTYPES CONCERNING MORPHOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>

TO HEAT STRESS

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (P part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript helps in creating variation in genotypes for improvements and researchers to carry forward the research work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add some recent reference of research work	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
ptional/General comments	Add figures name just below the figures. Table name should be just above the tables.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed the highlight that part in the manuse his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niraj Kumar Prajapati
Department, University & Country	Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University), India

k (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ript. It is mandatory that authors should write pere)

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write