

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_130698
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Psychocardiology in Oncology: Holistic Approaches to Mitigate Mental and Cardiac Health Risks in Cancer Patients</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses the intersection of mental, cardiac, and oncological health, a critical yet often underexplored area in holistic patient care. By highlighting the concept of psychocardiology, it provides a multidisciplinary framework to mitigate cardiovascular risks induced by cancer therapies, a major concern in oncology. Furthermore, it emphasizes the role of psychological factors such as stress, anxiety, and depression in exacerbating these risks, offering insights into molecular and behavioral pathways that connect mental and physical health. This knowledge can guide the development of integrated care models, ultimately improving outcomes and quality of life for cancer patients.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The current title, "Psychocardiology in Oncology: Holistic Approaches to Mitigate Mental and Cardiac Health Risks in Cancer Patients," is clear and descriptive, effectively capturing the manuscript's focus on psychocardiology, oncology, and holistic healthcare strategies.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is well-written and provides a good overview of the manuscript's focus, but there is room for improvement to enhance its comprehensiveness.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Based on the provided abstract, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound in its premise and focus. It highlights a relevant interdisciplinary field, <b>psychocardiology</b> , and explores its application in oncology, addressing both mental and cardiac health risks in cancer patients. The discussion of cancer therapies (e.g., chemotherapy, radiation, immunotherapy) and their cardiovascular implications is accurate and well-established in scientific literature. Additionally, the emphasis on the role of psychological factors like stress, anxiety, and depression aligns with existing evidence linking mental health to cardiovascular and oncological outcomes.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>References are sufficient.</b>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vishal Rai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AKTU University, India</b>