

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_131122
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Hemodialysis Patients in Egypt: A systematic Review
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hepatitis C virus infection is a significant problem in the management of HD patients. Hepatitis C is the most common cause of chronic viral liver disease in HD patients. Infection is the first cause of hospitalization and the second most common cause of mortality among HD patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference number 2 is too old, can be replaced by (Braun MM, Khayat M. Kidney Disease: End-Stage Renal Disease. FP Essent. 2021 Oct;509:26-32. Reference number 8 is too old, can be replaced by (Timofte D, Dragos D, Balcangiu-Stroescu AE, Tanasescu MD, Gabriela Balan D, Avino A, et al. Infection with hepatitis C virus in haemodialysis patients: An overview of the diagnosis and prevention rules within a haemodialysis centre (Review). Exp Ther Med. 2020 Jul;20(1):109-116. doi: 10.3892/etm.2020.8606.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The structure is well organised in step manner focusing on the aim of the study. -Age and sex were included in the results (table 3), so they should be studied in the discussion. -The generation of ELISA test used in different studies must be mentioned -What was the common genotype of HCV in the studies?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Khudhur Abdulrazzaq Aladhadh
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq