

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_130038
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicological Effects of Chronic Inhalation Exposure of 2-2 dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate on Haematological indices of New Zealand white Rabbits.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is important to illustrate the negative effect of pesticide on animal and human health through studying the changes in blood indices although it didn't offer any solution to ameliorate that negative effect by using any chemical or natural agents which would be of a great scientific value	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Toxicological Effects of Chronic Exposure by inhalation of 2-2 dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate on Haematological indices of New Zealand white Rabbits.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good "mean corpuscular haemoglobin conc. Is written twice" REVISE THE ABBRIVIATIONS OF THE TESTED BLOOD INDICES TO BE WRITTEN IN A CORRECT WAY and WITH THE SAME ORDER OF THE FULL NAME OF THESE INDICES	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No the manuscript needs more recent reference to clarify the comparisons with the results of the other researcher who work with the negative effect of dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need native language revision	
Optional/General comments	1. Revise the total number of experimental rabbits in section 2.1 2. Clarify why the results chart contain two result columns for each time and what is the significance of that 3. The HGB result is duplicated in the results section 4. The MCV result is duplicated in the results section	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mohamed Elmahdy Ali
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Research Institute, Egypt