

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_131104
Title of the Manuscript:	One new species of Russula sect. Ingratae from India, based on morphology and molecular data
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This an interesting paper describing a new species of <i>Russula</i> in India. Even if I am not specialist of this genus I found the work important. It is a good contribution to the documentation of mushroom especially members of <i>Russula</i> in India and the world in general. Some minor corrections are needed (especially at the level of the conclusion) to upgrade the paper before publication. My comments are on the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I propose: <i>Russula deodarae</i> sp. Nov., anew species of <i>Russula</i> sect. <i>Ingratae</i> from India, based on morphology and molecular data	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive but it will be nice if authors could add in element of the ecology and local uses.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I thing the structure and the content are acceptable for the presentation to scientific wold.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the many of the references are recent. However, the authors need to check the reference list very well because many cited in the text are not in the list of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is of good quality.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The outcome of the study is interesting. However, it would had been better if for phylogenetic analyses genes of Indian species morphologically closes to this new one were also used. I assume that this is due to the lack of genes of those species in the GeneBank. I hope that in the future authors could perform phylogenetic study of <i>Russula</i> species of the area.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Njouonkou Andre Ledoux
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon