

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130969
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the compatibility of Trichoderma asperellum (Tr-9) with nematicide Carbofuran and Cassava based biopesticide, Nanma under in-vitro conditions
Type of the Article	Short research article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It is crucial for developing sustainable and effective nematode management strategies in tuber crops.</li></ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Compatibility of <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (Tr-9) with Carbofuran and Nanma</li></ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, it is comprehensive, but abstract need to be rewritten.</li><li>Mention time-period of experiment.</li></ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, manuscript is scientifically written.</li></ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>References are sufficient.</li><li>Recent references need to be added.</li></ul>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The article's language needs improvement.</li></ul>	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalyani Supriya
Department, University & Country	Himachal Pradesh University, India