

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130512
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Soil Microbiome in Enhancing Plant Nutrition and Promoting Soil Health
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper has written on the scientific knowledge, it will wilful to readers and researcher in the field of Plant growth promoting relaters because these are beneficial in plant growth and development without harmful impact on the environment. Rhizobacteria is helping in mobilizations of growth promoting and soil applied as well as atmospheric nutrient which are easily available for the plant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Agree with the text of the paper	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient as per the Journal requirement	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is very informative and beneficial to readers and researchers a who are directly and indirectly working in this area	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adarsh Kumar Meena
Department, University & Country	Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, India