

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130453
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Integrated Nutrient Management Practices for Cereal Crops: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It synthesizes critical advancements and benefits of INM in improving soil fertility, crop yield, and sustainability, addressing challenges like soil degradation and over-reliance on chemical fertilizers. Such research is essential for promoting environmentally friendly agricultural practices, especially in the context of increasing global food demands and climate change.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by briefly mentioning specific challenges that INM addresses and including a sentence summarizing the future opportunities discussed. This would provide a more balanced overview.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound, supported by a robust set of references and detailed case studies on wheat, maize, rice, and barley. The findings are consistent with current knowledge in the field, and the use of multiple studies adds depth.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, with many from the last decade. However, including additional references addressing INM's role in combating climate change impacts or case studies from under-researched regions could enhance the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from minor edits for clarity and consistency. For instance, simplifying complex sentences in some sections can enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The figures and tables, if present, should be labeled and referenced within the text for coherence. Adding a section on policy recommendations or practical implications of INM could increase the manuscript's value for a broader audience, including policymakers and practitioners. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Om Prakash Gurjar
Department, University & Country	India