# Role of Phosphorous fertilizers and P solubilizes on growth, yield and economics of different cultivars of Grain amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochodriacus*) under Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka

#### Abstract

The field experiment was carried out for two years during Kharif season 2018 and 2019 at Main\* Research Station (MRS), Hebbal, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. The pooled data of two years indicated that among the varieties, grain yield was significantly higher with Suvarna (1839 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to KBGA-4 (1671 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among P levels, significantly higher grain yield was recorded with application 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 2.5 kg<sup>-1</sup>ha soil application + RD of NK&S (848 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with RDF (2091 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil application +RD of NK&S (1894 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, significantly higher yield advantage and agronomic efficiency of P were recorded with application 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil application + RD of NK&S (848 kg and 28.26, respectively) which was on par with 30 kg  $P_2O_5ha^{-1}$  + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kgha<sup>-1</sup> soil application (522kg and 26.12, respectively) and Recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS). Similarly, plant height and panicle length was followed the same trend. Significantly higher gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were recorded with application of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>+ PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil application + RD of NK&S (Rs. 110970 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs.85381 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 4.34, respectively) which was on par with RDF (NPKS) and 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> + PSB @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>soil application as compared to other treatments.

Key words: <u>Economics</u>, Grain amaranth, Growth, <u>yield</u>, Phosphorous use efficiency, <u>Yield</u> <u>Economics</u>

### 1. Introduction

Grain amaranth (*Amranthushypochodriacus*) is one of the pseudocereal which is highly-nutritious especially in terms of protein and minerals when compared to other cereals and millets. This It is considered as drought tolerant and relimate resilient crop because of its variable climatic adoptabilityadaptability. Amaranth is a tropical annual herbaceous crop belongs to the family Amaranthaceae. It plays a predominant role in nutrition as a cheapest source of minerals

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

and vitamins. The leaves and stem of amaranth are rich in protein, fat, calcium, phosphorous, β-carotene, riboflavin, niacin, sodium, iron and ascorbic acid;—where, Calciumcalcium, iron and phosphorus are the most important elements among the minerals present in amaranth (FAO, 2000). Though the exact area and production is lacking in grain amaranth, it is Amaranth is cultivated as a minor crop in several countries like Mexico, Guatemala, Peru, Bolivia, Ecuador, Argentina, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, Zambia, Kenya, Egypt, Afghanistan, Persia, China, Manchuria, Nepal and Bhutan. In India,it is widely distributed and cultivated in seventeen states viz., Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttaranchal, North Bihar, Sikkim, Assam, Meghalaya, Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, Tripura, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Maharastra, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamil Nadu and Orissa.

Phosphorus is one of the major essential macronutrients for the biological growth and development of plants. Soils generally contain substantial reserves of total P, though a major part of it remains relatively inert. Lless than only 10% of the total soil P is actually utilized and enters the plant-animal cycle. Actually Indian soils are rich in P but more than two thirds of the native phosphates are in a chemical form which cannot be absorbed by plants (Thiyageshwari and Selvi 2006). Furthermore, applied P fertilizers are rendered unavailable due to its chemical fixation in the soil (Vassilev and Vassileva 2003). Amaranth crop is good in phosphorous uptake and voracious feeder of nutrients which are essential for crop plants. However, it has high P requirement and therefore responds to P application (Gupta and Thimba, 1992). Its productivity can be improved at reduced cost through combined use of Psolubilizersviz., Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) and Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM)which plays an important role in making P available to crop plants and thereby increase the yield of crop plants. While varietal differences in efficiency of P uptake from soil has been studied for all crops butthese studies are lacking in grain amaranth. Such information would be useful for identification, selection and subsequent development of breeding programmesprogramsgenotypes with high capabilities for using P in low-P soils. Combined use of P fertilizers and P solubilizers may helpshelp in efficient use of phosphorous in soil as well as reduction in application P fertilizer which can reduce cost of cultivationas these fertilizers are very costly. In this context, an experiment has been planned conducted in Grain amaranth with different P levels along with P solubilizers in grain amaranth genotypesalong with different genotypes.

**Formatted:** Indent: First line: 0", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

### 2. Material and methods

The experiment was carried out for two years during Kharif 2018 and 2019 at Main Research-Station (MRS), Hebbal, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore to study the effect of P fertilizer levels along with P solubilizers in grain amaranth genotypes. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture and low in organic carbon (0.36%), available nitrogen (254.41 kg/ha), medium in available P2O5 (28.32 kg/ha) and available K2O (186.04 kg/ha). Soil is red sandy loam in texture withslightly acidic pH of 6.28along with normal electrical conductivity (0.25 dS/m). The experiment was laid in split plot design with two genotypes in main plots, viz., V<sub>1</sub>- Suvarna, V<sub>2</sub>- KBGA-4 and seven fertilizer levels in subplots, viz.,  $T_1$ - Control,  $T_2$ - 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha  $T_3$ - 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha  $T_4$ - 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S, T<sub>5</sub>- 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application, T<sub>6</sub>- PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application alone and T7- Recommended recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS), Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) applied @ 60:40:40 NPK kg/ha. Recommended NK& and S is common for all treatments except T<sub>7</sub>. Totally there were 14 treatment combinations which were replicated thrice. The source of NPK used were urea, DAP and MOP. About 50% of nitrogen and 100% of phosphorus and potassium were applied at the time of sowing. Remaining 50% of nitrogen was top dressed after hand weeding and at the time of intercultivation at 30 DAS. PSB and VAM was procured from Department of Microbiology, College of Agriculture GKVK, Bangalore and were applied as per the treatments. Necessary plant protection measures were taken during the infestation of sucking pests and defoliates, while no disease was found during the study period. Protective irrigation was given when there was moisture stress due to deficit of rainfall. The growth and yield parameters were recorded at the time of harvesting. Statistical analysis was done as per the formula given by Gomez and Gomez (1966), write the name of the software used for analysis.

### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1.Growth parameters of grain amaranth as influenced by P fertilizer levels along with P solubilizes

The growth and development of the grain amaranth varieties were influenced by P levels and used of P solubilizers. The mean data of two years indicated that, the plant height, panicle length and

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0'

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0'

number of leaves per plant (150.56 cm) was significantly higher in Suvarna variety as compared to KBGA-4 (137.29 cm). Whereas, panicle length and number of leaves per plant were significantly higher in KBGA-4 variety (59.76 cm and 116.85, respectively) as compared to Suvarna (43.15 cm and 103.92, respectively). This could be due to varietal character in which a KBGA-4 bears more small leaves than Suvarna which bears larger broad leaves and shape of the panicle and width of the leaves in each variety may differs. Decreased growth—and increased growth among varieties observed in this study corroborate with the findings described byofSangingaet al. (2000) and Joshiet al. (2021)as earlier highlighteddescribing that hormonal, physiological and other genetic factors are responsible for growth differences. Among subplots, application of phosphorous @ 30 kg/ha along with PSB and VAM was recorded—resulted inhigher plant height (152.17 cm) which was on par with RDF (150.50 cm) and application of phosphorous @ 20 kg/ha along with PSB and VAM (147.20 cm) as compared to other P levels.

This could be due to the fact that phosphorus which encourages formation of new cells, promotes-fibrous root growth (particularly, the development of, fibrous roots) and thereby-facilitating more nutrient absorption by the plantin turn-increasinges the plant growth. Similar increase in growth parameters under higher levels of phosphorus application were observed reported by Chakravarty and Gogoi (1991) and Jayshree et al. (1996). This could also be due to higher availability of nutrients which has accelerated the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acids which are associated with photosynthetic process of plants which resulted ing in higher growth and development. The above present results were in line with the findings of Naveen and Mevada (2012) and Dongre (2011).

# 3.2. Yield and yield parameters of grain amaranth as influenced by P fertilizer levels along with P solubilizes

The pooled data of two years indicated that among the varieties, grain yield and stover yield was significantly higher with Suvarna (1839 and 2064 kg/ha, respectively) as compared to KBGA-4 (1671 and 1819 kg/ha, respectively). Among the subplots, significantly higher grain yield was recorded with from the application of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S (848 kg kg/ha) which was on par with Recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS) (2091 g/ha) and 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S (1894 kg/ha). However, yield advantage over control and agronomic efficiency of P did not differ significantly due to the

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

varieties but differed significantly with phosphorous levels. Significantly higher yield advantage and agronomic efficiency of P were recorded with the application of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S (848 kg and 28.26, respectively) which was on par with 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S (522kg and 26.12, respectively) and Recommended recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS) (522kg and 26.12, respectively). Similarly, plant height and panicle length was followed the same trend. However, 10 ml seed weight did not differ significantly (Table 2). This might be due to better growth and yield parameters and better availability of nutrients at erop the growth period due to better root growth development which helped in more absorption of moisture and nutrients was as observed by Anil Kumar et al. (2010) and Ojo et al. (2010).

The increase in stover yield at higher level of phosphorus might be due to the significant increase in growth attributes. Similar findings were revealed by Tiwari and Mishra (1997). The results obtained might also be due to beneficial response of the crop due to bio fertilizers. Biofertilizers colonize the rhizosphere of the plant and promote growth by increasing the supply and/or availability of primary nutrients to the host plants. Vesicular *ArbuscularMycorrhiza* is known to enhance the uptake and transport of mineral nutrients from the soil directly into host plant roots. The Similar results from the present study were reported bin agreement withy Sandeep *et al.* (2014).

### 3.3. Effect of P fertilizer levels along with P solubilizers on economics of grain amaranth

Economics was did not found significant with the varieties. Whereas, significantly higher gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were recorded with application of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S (Rs. 110970/ha, Rs.85381/ha and 4.34, respectively) which was on par with which was on par with recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS) and 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S as compared to other treatments (Table 4). The higher economic advantage could be due to the fact that higher market value for grain amaranthwhich ultimately led to higher economic returns. Similar findings were also reported by Ramachandra and Thimmaraju (1983) and Singh *et al.* (1985).

### 4. Conclusion

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

The results-findings of the two years findings study indicated that application of 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S has evidenced in higher growth and yield which was on par with 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S. This shows that 50% per cent phosphorous can be reduced when we apply P solubilizing bacteria along with P fertilizers under *Alfisols* of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka.

#### 5. References

- AnilKumar,S.,Chidandappa,H.M.&VijayShankarBabu,M. (2010). Effectofdifferentsourcesofzincongrowth,yieldanduptakeofnutrientbymaizecrop(*Zea mays* L.).*MysoreJ. Agric. Sci.*,44(1): 92-99.
- Chakravarty, A. & Gogoi, H.N. (1991). Effectof source, level and time of application of phosphorus on irrigated wheat. *Indian. J. Agron.* 36:256-257.
- Dongre, S.B. (201). Response of amaranth (*Amaranthushypochondriacus* L.) to leaves of nitrogen and organic manures under south Gujarat condition. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Navsari.
- Fao(2000). Humanvitaminandmineral requirements. www.fao.org.
- Gomez, K.A. & Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agric. Res. 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Gupta, V.K. and Thimba, D. (1992). Grain amaranth: Apromising crop formar ginal areas of Kenya. *Food Reviews International*, 8(1), 51-69.
- JayashreeBhaskar,Bharad,G.M.&Patil,S.N.,1996.Effectofplantpopulation,nitrogenandphosphorus ongrainamaranth.*IndianJ.Agron.*,41(1):181-182.
- Joshi, G.H., Thalkar, M.G., Lanje, S.N., PagoreG.K. & KadamA.D. (2021). Effect of PSB, VAM and phosphorus levels on plant height, shoot and root growth in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal, 10(4): 550-553.
- Naveen, K.H. & Mevada, K.D. (2012). Performance of different composts and biofertilizer on yield and quality of green gram (Vigna radiata L.), Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv., 3 (1): 17-20.
- Ojo,O.D.,Akinrinde,E.A.&Akoroda,M.O.(2010).Residualeffectsof phosphorussourcesingrainamaranthproduction. *J.PlantNutrn.*, **33**(5):770-783.
- Parashurama, P., Duraisamy & Mani, M.K. (2000). Effectoforganic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers on soil fertility under double cropping system inrainfedred soils. *Indian J. Agron.*, 45 (2):

242-247.

- Ramachandra, H. A.&Thimmaraju. K. R. (1983). Effect of different levelsofN&Pongrowthcomponents&YieldofAmaranthusCVA-25.MysoreJ.AgriSci.,17 (2): 158-164.
- SangingaN., O. Lyasse&Singh, B. B. (2010). Phosphorus use efficiency and nitrogen balance of cowpea breeding lines in a low P soil of the derived savanna zone in West Africa. *Plant and Soil*, 220: 119–128.
- SandeepKumar,Ripudaman,S.,Saquib,M.,Dharmendra,S.&Awadhesh,K.(2014).Effectofdifferent combinationsofvermicompost,biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers on growth, productivity and profitability inchickpea. *Plant Archives*, 14(1): 267-270.
- Singh, U.C., Sundararajan, S. & Veeraragavathatham, D. (1985). Effect of split application nitrogenon growth and yield of a maranthus (Amaranthus tristis L.) CO.3. South Indian Horti., 33(2):100-102.
- Thiyageshwari, S. &Selvi, D. (2006). Soil enzyme activity as affected by theintegrated use of p sources with vermicompost and phosphobacteria in cotton(*Gossypium Hirsutum*) pulse (*Vigna ungigulata*) mix in an inceptisol. The 18thWorldCongress ofSoil Science, July 9-15, USA, Pp. 163-164.
- Vassilev, N. & Vassileva, M. (2003). Biotechnological solubilization of rockphosphateonmediacontaining agroindustrial wastes. *Applied Microbio. Biotech.*, 61(6):435-40.

Table 1. Growth parameters of Grain amaranth as influenced by levels of phosphorous fertilizer and bio fertilizers.

Treatment details	Plant height (cm)			Panicle length (cm)			No. of leaves per plant at harvest		
Mail Plot (Varieties)	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean
V <sub>1</sub> -Suvarna	174.30	106.81	140.56	45.53	40.76	43.15	15.16	12.68	13.92
V <sub>2</sub> - KBGA-4	162.19	112.38	137.29	62.89	56.64	59.76	25.45	21.26	23.36
S.Em±	2.19	2.14	1.77	2.72	3.10	0.78	0.86	1.05	0.96
CD @5%	9.44	9.21	7.61	11.71	13.33	3.35	2.25	3.20	2.73
Subplots (P lev	els)								
S <sub>1</sub>	148.33	95.00	121.67	43.67	38.67	41.17	10.14	8.25	9.20
$S_2$	160.00	100.00	130.00	49.83	44.83	47.33	13.25	10.45	11.85
S <sub>3</sub>	170.00	109.67	139.83	54.37	50.67	52.52	15.45	12.48	13.97
S <sub>4</sub>	178.57	115.83	147.20	58.67	53.33	56.00	17.35	15.26	16.31
S <sub>5</sub>	185.17	119.17	152.17	62.90	56.07	59.48	18.16	16.24	17.20
$S_6$	154.67	107.50	131.08	50.00	45.00	47.50	12.14	9.25	10.70
S <sub>7</sub>	181.00	120.00	150.50	60.03	52.33	56.18	17.85	14.65	16.25
S.Em±	2.76	2.94	1.62	1.65	2.01	1.62	0.32	0.65	0.49
CD @%	8.06	8.59	4.72	4.82	5.87	4.72	1.05	1.85	1.45
Interactions (M	IxS)								
S.Em±	3.93	4.16	2.49	2.38	2.19	2.29	2.13	2.56	2.45
CD @5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 $NOTE: S1- Control \ (Recommended \ dose \ of \ NK\&S) \qquad S2- \ 20 \ kg \ P_2O_5/ha + Recommended \ dose \ of \ NK\&S \\ S3- \ 30 \ kg \ P_2O_5/ha + Recommended \ dose \ of \ NK\&S \\ S4- \ 20 \ kg \ P_2O_5/ha + PSB \ @ \ 2.5 \ kg/ha + VAM \ @ \ 2.5 \ kg/ha \ soil \ application \\ +RD \ of \ NK\&S \\ S5- \ 30 \ kg \ P_2O_5/ha + PSB \ @ \ 2.5 \ kg/ha + VAM \ @ \ 2.5 \ kg/ha \ soil \ application \ alone + RD \ of \ NK\&S, S7. \ Recommended \ dose \ of \ fertilizer \ (NPKS)$ 

Table 2. Yield and yield parameters of Grain amaranth as influenced by levels of phosphorous fertilizer and P solubilizers.

Treatment details	Grain yield (kg/ha)			Fresh stover yield (t/ha)			10 ml seed weight		
Mail Plot (Varieties)	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean
V <sub>1</sub> -Suvarna	1837	1513	1675	2336	2018	2177	9.02	8.48	8.75
V <sub>2</sub> - KBGA-4	1671	1332	1502	2175	1836	2005	9.00	8.71	8.86
S.Em±	27	25	19	38	42	40	0.23	0.29	0.24
CD @5%	114	107	82	125	132	128	0.98	1.25	1.05
Subplots (P levels )									
$S_1$	1372	1001	1186	1870	1552	1711	8.92	8.33	8.63
$S_2$	1501	1196	1349	2061	1694	1877	9.00	8.33	8.67
$S_3$	1675	1396	1535	2176	1891	2033	9.00	8.67	8.83
$S_4$	1894	1635	1764	2398	2130	2264	9.00	8.67	8.83
$S_5$	2019	1759	1889	2520	2252	2386	9.50	9.17	9.33
$S_6$	1533	1267	1400	2032	1756	1894	9.00	8.67	8.83
$S_7$	2004	1709	1856	2540	2230	2385	8.67	8.33	8.50
S.Em±	58	24	30	58	44	51	0.20	0.16	0.15
CD @%	171	70	86	171	135	153	0.59	0.46	0.43
Interactions (MXS)									
S.Em±	80.62	35.10	40.89	85.62	38.10	61.86	0.31	0.29	0.26
CD @5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NOTE: S1- Control (Recommended dose of NK&S)

S2- 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + Recommended dose of NK&S

S3- 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + Recommended dose of NK&S

S4- 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application

+RD of NK&S

S5- 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S

S6- PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application alone + RD of NK&S, S7. Recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS)

Table 3. Yield advantage and agronomic efficiency of Grain amaranth as influenced by levels of phosphorous fertilizer and bio fertilizers.

Treatment details	Yield advant	age over cont	rol (kg)	Agronomic efficiency of P (Kg grains kg <sup>-1</sup> P)			
Mail Plot (Varieties)	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean	
V <sub>1</sub> -Suvarna	460	473	466	14.68	15.58	15.13	
V <sub>2</sub> - KBGA-4	307	372	339	10.74	12.30	11.52	
S.Em ±	286	17	112	5.94	0.58	3.25	
CD @5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Subplots (P levels )							
$S_1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$S_2$	130	195	162	6.49	9.75	8.12	
S <sub>3</sub>	303	395	349	10.12	13.16	11.64	
S <sub>4</sub>	522	634	578	26.12	31.69	28.90	
$S_5$	848	758	803	28.26	25.26	26.76	
$S_6$	161	266	213	0.00	0.00	0.00	
$S_7$	719	708	714	17.98	17.70	17.84	
S.Em ±	136	24	69	3.61	4.81	4.65	
CD @%	397	70	202	10.53	15.58	15.13	
Interactions (MXS)							
S.Em ±	32.15	33.84	120.0	6.32	3.41	2.34	
CD @5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Note: AE= (GYf-GYc)/PsWhere, GYf- grain yield of fertilized plot, GYc- grain yield of control plot, Ps- Quantity of P fertilizer applied

NOTE : S1- Control (Recommended dose of NK&S) S2- 20 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + Recommended$  dose of NK&S

S3- 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + Recommended dose of NK&S S4- 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S S5- 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S S6- PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application alone + RD of NK&S, S7. Recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS)

Table 4. Economics of Grain amaranth as influenced by levels of phosphorous fertilizer and bio fertilizers.

Treatment details	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)			Net returns (Rs./ha)			B:C ratio		
Mail Plot (Varieties)	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean	2018	2019	Mean
V <sub>1</sub> -Suvarna	27834	28834	28334	61080	46835	53958	2.23	1.62	1.92
V <sub>2</sub> - KBGA-4	27834	28834	28334	54159	37789	45974	1.98	1.31	1.64
SE.m	-	-	-	1481	1244	838	0.05	0.04	0.03
CD @5%	-	-	-	6373	5354	3604	0.23	0.18	0.12
Subplots (P									
levels)									
$S_1$	26589	27589	27089	40992	22444	31718	1.58	0.81	1.20
$S_2$	27864	28864	28364	46211	30919	38565	1.69	1.07	1.38
$S_3$	28589	29589	29089	54162	40186	47174	1.93	1.36	1.64
S <sub>4</sub>	28364	29364	28864	65335	52361	58848	2.34	1.78	2.06
S <sub>5</sub>	29589	30152	29870	72644	60336	66490	2.84	2.19	2.52
$S_6$	26986	27986	27486	48639	35352	41996	1.84	1.26	1.55
S <sub>7</sub>	29856	30856	30356	73352	54586	63969	2.49	1.77	2.13
SE.m	-	-	-	2598	1204	1357	0.09	0.04	0.05
CD @%	-	- /	-	7583	3513	3960	0.27	0.12	0.14
Interactions (MXS)									
SE.m	-	-	-	9735	1804	5057	0.20	0.15	0.17
CD @5%	-	-	-	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NOTE: S1- Control (Recommended dose of NK&S) S2- 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + Recommended dose of NK&S

S3- 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + Recommended dose of NK&S S4- 20 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application +RD of NK&S S5- 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha + PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application + RD of NK&S

S6- PSB @ 2.5 kg/ha + VAM @ 2.5 kg/ha soil application alone + RD of NK&S, S7. Recommended dose of fertilizer (NPKS)