### **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127314
Title of the Manuscript:	Long-term effect of tillage, residue and biofertilizer on soil microbial biomass carbon and dehydrogenase activity under rice-wheat cropping system in Terai agroecological region of West Bengal
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a> Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	If possible short the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Write abbreviation of some words like SMBC, SDHA etc	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul> <li>Correct the reference as per submitted journal format</li> <li>Initial status of soil Analysis, microbial counts, which residue used in experiments with rate of application</li> <li>Sharma and Bhushan reference</li> <li>Salinas-Garcia et al and Papatheodorou and Stamou 2008 missing in back reference</li> <li>Monokrousos check reference</li> <li>Format tile of each table</li> <li>Check text reference and back reference</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hirenkumar Kantilal Patel
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)