## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_108080
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of Sugar and Jaggery coating on freezing of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa) var. Winter Dawn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

### (https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Auth
			the n
			manu
<u></u>	maulaery REV/ISION comments		his/he
<u>L0</u>	pmpulsory REVISION comments		
1.	Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The article submitted for review is devoted to the processing of strawberry fruits with a sugar solution of various concentrations with simultaneous freezing. The research conducted by the authors made it possible to select the most optimal processing mode from the point of view of various physicochemical factors, which allows for long-term storage of processed strawberries and their use as an ingredient in the formulations of various food products.	
2.	Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article suitable	
3.	Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract of the article comprehensive	
4.	Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate	
5.	Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct	
6.	Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references sufficient and recent	
	part from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Mi	nor REVISION comments	The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
1.	Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Op</u>	otional/General comments	In my opinion, the list of sources used in the article is very short. It has only 12 positions. I think the list should be expanded. In addition, when preparing an article for publication, authors must carefully proofread the material, since entire paragraphs are repeated in it.	

### n

thor's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct manuscript and highlight that part in the nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write /her feedback here)

# Review Form 1.7

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed v
		highlight that part in the manuse
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Verkhivker Yakov
Department, University & Country	Odessa National Technology University, Ukraine

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write