

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_131133 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Bacteria and Fungi associated with Smoked Tilapia Fish sold in Kure Market Minna Niger State Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review. | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Sampling of the smoked fish and analysis for bacterial and fungal is a novel study and having great importance in industry. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes , | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | No, it was well written. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Sufficient references were added in the manuscript. | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Good, well written | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | M. Joshna |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. J. Jayalalithaa Fisheries University, India |