

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_130681
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antibiogram of Bacteria and Fungi isolated from Medical and Non-medical Dumpsites in Rivers State</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript present in simple terms a study of the 'Antibiogram of Bacteria and Fungi isolated from Medical and Non-Medical Dumpsites in Rivers State'. This study is important and impactful to the local and international community from findings and presentation. It was easy to read and understand and the results were presented clearly in tables and bar graphs. There were few typographical errors and clustered words that could be rewritten for better understanding. Some of the corrections have been highlighted in yellow or red when the recommendation is to remove a word if found unnecessary.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is comprehensive and captures a good summary of the article. However, there were slight issues with presentation of generic names of microorganisms, for example, it will be better to write <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> in full before abbreviating for the rest of the species, such as <i>A. niger</i> etc. There should be consistency in writing the names of antibiotics beginning with capital letters ( all through the article) and correct spellings of some of the antibiotics for example Ampiclox not Ampliclox etc.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The Manuscript is scientifically correct because it covers all scientific research areas from literature review to methodology, results, discussions, conclusion and references. All were presented in good detail.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient for the level of work achieved. However, they were not that recent - the earliest was 2021. One would expect to see some references from the last two years 2022-2024. This can be added if possible to enhance the quality of the manuscript. The references on the first page has no DOI links.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications, however minor typographical corrections and punctuations have already been suggested. Many are highlighted in yellow (see attachment).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>A good paper. I recommend it for acceptance for publication with due attention given to the suggested corrections.</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chinwe Joan Ogu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nasarawa State University, Nigeria</b>