

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_130491
Title of the Manuscript:	Bibliometric Analysis of Publications on Neglected Tropical Diseases in Nigeria using Data from Dimensions Database
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>In general, this study provided the research landscape of Neglected Tropical Diseases in Nigeria. This study highlights the spheres of high-impact studies. The findings can significantly inform future studies in the region, policymaking, and creating initiatives. The study objectives were clear and the methodology was appropriate.</p> <p>I have some comments as below:</p> <p>Material and Methoddology: “<i>The publications spanned from January 1, 2008, up to January 14, 2025.</i>”</p> <p>⇒ I believe it will be more comprehensive if the authors should provide the rationale for selecting this period 2008-2025 to identify trends of neglected diseases and publications.</p> <p>Results: It will be much better informative that authors presented the sources of the publications analyzed in this study, for example Scopus, Pubmed...etc.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is acceptable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and structured.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript meets scientific standards.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are up to date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English was well written and it is appropriate in scholar communication.	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Tat Thanh
Department, University & Country	Woolcock Institute of Medical Research, Vietnam