

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_130491
Title of the Manuscript:	Bibliometric Analysis of Publications on Neglected Tropical Diseases in Nigeria using Data from Dimensions Database
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this study has some importance to the public health sector and bibliographic sector. Authors have tried to shed some light on the research trend in the field of neglected tropical diseases in Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title looks suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract looks comprehensive but the results and discussion sections didn't present study results in a clear way. Also, limitations part should be removed from the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript looks appropriate from a scientific perspective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the manuscript is missing the required citation especially in the introduction part.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors are advised to correct many mistakes in punctuations.	
Optional/General comments	Authors should clearly mention the reason to include the analysis of HIV publications. How this is related to main focus of this study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq