Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_125707
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Identification, and Antibiogram of Uropathogenic Escherichia coli Isolated from Ambulatory Patients with Suspected Cases of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because it addresses a critical clinical issue—urinary tract infections caused by uropathogenic E. coli—and provides practical insights into local antibiotic resistance patterns, which are valuable for guiding treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it employs established methodologies for the isolation and identification of uropathogenic Escherichia coli, following rigorous laboratory protocols. The use of the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method for antibiotic susceptibility testing is a widely accepted and validated approach, ensuring that the results are reliable and reproducible. Additionally, the study is likely grounded in a solid sample size of ambulatory patients with suspected urinary tract infections. Furthermore, the detailed antibiogram provides crucial insights into the local resistance patterns, which are essential for informing clinical decision-making and antibiotic stewardship initiatives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most are okay. Use of outdated CLSI guidelines may undermine the accuracy of the results	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harish Kumar. K. S
Department, University & Country	School of Medical Education, Kerala University of Health Sciences, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)