## **Review Form 3**

Type of the Article	Case report
Title of the Manuscript:	High-Dose Epoprostenol and Adjunct Therapies for Refractory Raynaud's Phenomenon Associated with Anti-Jo Antibod
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_130151
Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (I part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the management of refractory Raynaud's phenomenon associated with antisynthetase syndrome (ASyS) and anti-Jo antibodies, a relatively rare and challenging condition. It highlights the potential benefits and challenges of using high-dose Epoprostenol, alongside a multi-modal treatment strategy, to improve patient outcomes. By detailing a complex case with a comprehensive approach to treatment, it contributes to the limited literature on severe digital ischemia in ASyS, offering practical guidance for clinicians. Furthermore, it underscores the importance of individualized treatment regimens and continuous monitoring in managing severe autoimmune vascular complications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul> <li>The current title, "High-Dose Epoprostenol and Adjunct Therapies for Refractory Raynaud's Phenomenon Associated with Anti-Jo Antibodies: a Case Report," is descriptive and informative, accurately reflecting the case's content and focus on both the treatment and the specific condition. However, it could be more concise and engaging.</li> <li>An alternative title could be: "Management of Refractory Raynaud's Phenomenon in Antisynthetase Syndrome: A Case Report on High-Dose Epoprostenol and Adjunct Therapies."This version maintains the key elements while shortening the title slightly and emphasizing the broader clinical context (antisynthetase syndrome) in which the refractory Raynaud's phenomenon occurs.</li> </ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Briefly state the objective or purpose of the case report to provide context upfront.Mention the patient's outcome or current status after the described treatments to give a more complete picture.Include a specific mention of the challenges faced with high-dose Epoprostenol, such as adverse effects or tolerance issues, for a balanced view.	
	While it's important, the phrase "multi-modal treatment strategy" is repeated and could be condensed to streamline the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript could benefit from more detailed references to recent studies or guidelines to reinforce the scientific basis of the treatment regimen. It may be helpful to provide more specific data or outcomes from the case, such as quantitative improvements in ischemia or patient-reported outcomes, to strengthen the scientific discussion. The explanation of the mechanism of action for each adjunct therapy could be expanded to provide deeper scientific context, particularly for readers less familiar with these treatments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are generally relevant and provide a solid foundation for the discussion on the management of refractory Raynaud's phenomenon in the context of antisynthetase syndrome. However, some references may be considered somewhat outdated, with a few dating back to the 1980s and 1990s. Including more recent studies and guidelines could strengthen the scientific basis of the manuscript. <b>Recent Reviews on Raynaud's Phenomenon and Antisynthetase Syndrome:</b> Kowal-Bielecka, O., et al. (2020). "EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic	

### odies: a Case Report

#### (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write re)

# **Review Form 3**

	sclerosis: a focus on Raynaud's phenomenon and digital ulcers." <i>Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases.</i> Marie, I., et al. (2021). "Antisynthetase syndrome: A comprehensive review." <i>Autoimmunity Reviews.</i> <b>Updated Guidelines on Prostacyclin Analogs:</b> "2020 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension" (can include references to the use of prostacyclin analogs in vascular conditions). <b>Recent Studies on Adjunct Therapies for Raynaud's Phenomenon:</b> Denton, C.P., et al. (2018). "Novel therapies for the treatment of Raynaud's phenomenon." <i>Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs</i> .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's language is adequate for scholarly communication but could benefit from minor revisions to enhance clarity, consistency, and flow. A thorough proofreading session focusing on grammar, sentence structure, and transitions would improve the overall quality of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

## <u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if ag highlight that part in the r write his/her feedback he
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dilara Bulut Gökten
Department, University & Country	Tekirdag Namik Kemal University, Türkiye

agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and e manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should here)