

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130877
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature and Cultivar Influence on Enzyme Activity and Composition of the Microbial Community in the Rhizosphere of Sweet Potato During Early Growth Stage.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is good and contributes to sweet potato development. Unfortunately, many sentences are not systematic and make readers confused. Please write in simple sentences and follow the journal template for arrange the space used in the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I corrected the vocabulary of sweet potato	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript must be written clearly, sub title must following journal template then references in major revision status. Few of references not include in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	For complete review-related scholarly communication, maybe authors can consult another scientist.	
Optional/General comments	<div>Please read carefully of the manuscript review</div> <div>The manuscript has good ideas in developing the quality of sweet potatoes related to temperature and the presence of rhizosphere microbial in the early stage. The author must be written clearly, sub title must follow the journal template and references in major revision status. Few of references not in the manuscript.</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sri Nur Aminah Ngatimin
Department, University & Country	Hasanuddin University, Indonesia