

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130844
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Heavy Metals Status in Irrigation water in the Central Narmada Valley Zone of Madhya Pradesh, India Using GIS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Environmental pollution is about the greatest challenge of for the present day worl. Water is vital for the survival of all living organism. Water pollution pose a huge health risk to humans and animals. There is a need to monitor the level of substances such as heavy metals in the environment and provide information on the content of these heavy metals to assetain if they are above or below the permissible limits set by WHO and other regulating bodies so as to avoid heavy metal poisoning disasters. This manuscripts is the important to the scientific community due to the information provided by the researcher on the heavy metal content of the aquatic environment and the implications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the Title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	That language quality is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Standard international units should be maintained, and the use of decimal points for result data should be uniform.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ogidi Adam Ogidi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Kashere, Nigeria