

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130704
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Risk and Resilience: Evidence from the 2024 Flood in Maiduguri, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study will help in assessing the adaptation/ resilience of vulnerable communities relative to flooding incidents. Of todays environmental incidents that become harsh due to different factors, data be taken of study such as this will help communities/governments to take appropriate actions in order to mitigate further damages of flooding incidents. It is best to check the mitigating measures (infrastructures, social info drive, local legislations) undertaken in the locality. Most important, Information Education Communication drive must be done always so that local people will be safeguarded.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	For me the title is okay. Consider this also "Examining Flood Risk and Resilience: Insights from the 2024 Flood in Maiduguri, Nigeria".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You can omit the last paragraph "The remainder of this paper is organized". This paragraph is redundant. It is also understood that the next section will be RRL, Methodology, etc.- This are the basic order in the making of manuscript for publication.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, for me this manuscript is observing the scientific research ethics. First- this undergoes peer reviewing; and Second- it observes citation of references/authors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	For me the references are sufficient. I have seen one "Mileti, D. S., & Sorensen, J. H. (1990). Communication of emergency public warnings...." My suggestion is to find same study but of latest year of publication; not this year 1990 which I think is quiet far from present.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	For me, the English quality of the manuscript is okay.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is okay.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Crisologo, Emeline Suyo
Department, University & Country	Mindanao State University at Naawan, Philippines