

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_130283
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple Spectrophotometric Method for determination of Capsaicin in chilis and spicy sauces.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Clarify in explaining about the methods is missing in introduction, results are poorly written	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title needs to be reframed, Chilis" is a noun that refers to a hot pepper, a spicy dish, or a condiment, it needs to be clear	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Abstract is too short may be increased up to 300 words, clarify is missing, it should reflect the results in concise. All research tools used should be spelled out.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Already published results should be mentioned with references. Citations should be given in support of the findings. Not sufficient, references to be arranged in alphabetic order or in order specified as per journal guidelines.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	If references are quoted wherever needed, it will strengthen the paper. Already published results should be mentioned with references. Citations should be given in support of the findings. Conclusions should be in the past tense, only as per the objectives. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Raja Madhushekar
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University, India