ReviewForm3

| JournalName: | InternationalJournalofBiochemistryResearch&Review |
|-----------------------|--|
| ManuscriptNumber: | Ms_IJBCRR_130171 |
| TitleoftheManuscript: | EFFECTOFPROLONGEDADMINISTRATIONOFHIGHFATDIETONSERUMBIOCHEMICAL,HAEMATOLOGICALANDORGANH |
| TypeoftheArticle | RESEARCH |

PART1:Comments

| Pleasewritesfewsentercesregardingtheimportan ce of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Manuscripttopicwasgoodandinformativetothereaders. twillbehelpfulrasearchers'communitywhoareworkingonstudytheeffectof dietonvarioushealth issues. Internanuscript twillbehelpfulrasearchers'communitywhoareworkingonstudytheeffectof dietonvarioushealth issues. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Abstractneedtorewritespeciallymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Itsneedsomemajorcorrections. In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animalethiescommitteenumberorethicalistatemental-outBoderequireinthemanuscript. Rightnow, methodpartistotallyvague, readersarenotabletoeasilyunderstoodabouthefindingofauthors. Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?/fyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminthereview/orm. No.Itsneedtoimprove Istheemanuscript for scholarly communications? No.Itsneedtoimprove Impreventional diageneration. Distoclanguegefinglishiqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? No.Itsneedtoimprove Impreventional diageneration. Discossionartauthorekaveementioned in table and figures in text. Apresentauthorahaveementionetheethertinding.Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichretisusedforthestudy,mentionspecies.ageandsex. Format of manuscript <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Pleasewriteafewsentencearegardingthelmportan ce of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Manuscriptopicwasgoodandinformativactohereaders. Wes Isthetitieorthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestmalternativetitie) Yes Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestitheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Abstractneedtorewritespeciallymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestitheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Itsneedsomemajorcorrections. In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animalathicscommitteerumberorethicalstatementshouldberequireinthemanuscript. Rightnow, methodpartistotallyvague, readersarenotabletoeasilyunderstoodabouthefindingofauthors. Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?!!youhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminhereviewform. No.Itsneedtoimprove Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? No.Itsneedtoimprove Optional/General.comments MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerorneed to rectifyorpoenty. Lacking of explanation. Discussonpartauthorkavementionedheothertifically.Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy, mentionspecies, ageandsex. Format of manuscript | | Reviewer'scomment | Author'sFeedback(P inthemanuscript.Itisma here) |
| (If notpleasesuggestanalternativetitle) Abstractneedtorewritespeciallymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestituaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Abstractneedtorewritespeciallymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggestituaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Itsneedsomemajorcorrections, In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animalethicscommitteenumberorethicalstatementshouldberequireinthemanuscript. Rightnow, methodpartistotallyvague, readersarenotabletoeasilyunderstoodaboutthefindingofauthors. Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?!fyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminithereviewform. Referencessaresufficient. Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable No.Itsneedtoimprove Itsneedtoimprove Optional/Generalcomments MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerromeed torectlyproperly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text. Apresentauthorshaveadddataonlytheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding.Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Writhrastusedforthestudy,mentionspecies,ageandsex. Format of manuscript | ce of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be | Itwillbehelpfulresearchers' community who are working on study the effect of dieton various health | |
| you suggestheaddition(ordeletion)6/somepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here. Itsneedsomemajorcorrections, Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrit Itsneedsomemajorcorrections, In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminthereviewform. Referencesaresufficient. Butiswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021. Butiswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021. Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? No.Itsneedtoimprove Optional/Generalcomments MAJOR Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text.Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy, mentionspecies, ageandsex. Format of manuscript | | Yes | |
| e here. In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animalethicscommitteenumberorethicalstatementshouldberequireinthemanuscript. Rightnow,methodpartistotallyvague,readersarenotabletoeasilyunderstoodaboutthefindingofauthors. Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminthereviewform. Referencesaresufficient. Butitswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021. Butitswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021. Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? No,Itsneedtoimprove Optional/Generalcomments MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerrorneed torectifyproperly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text.Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding,Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. | you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth | Abstractneedtorewritespeciallymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders. | |
| suggestions of additional references, please mention theminthereviewform. Butitswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021. Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications? No.Itsneedtoimprove Optional/Generalcomments MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerrorneed torectifyproperty. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text. Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding.Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy,mentionspecies, ageandsex. Format of manuscript | | In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animalethicscommitteenumberorethicalstatementshouldberequireinthemanuscript. | |
| for scholarly communications? MAJOR Optional/General comments MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerrorneed torectifyproperly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text. Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding,ltsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy,mentionspecies,ageandsex. Format of manuscript | suggestions of additional references, please mention | | |
| Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerrorneed torectifyproperly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text.Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding,Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy,mentionspecies,ageandsex. Format of manuscript | | No,Itsneedtoimprove | |
| | <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Languageneed to improve throughout the manuscript Manyspelling and grammatical error need to rectify properly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text. A tpresent authors have add data only in the result section (repeatinformation in the text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussion part author have mentioned the other finding, Its better if author can connect with their finding. Clearly mention about ethical statements. | |
| | | Approvedby:MPM | |

NHISTOLOGYINRATS

| Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart nandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

ReviewForm3

| was not proper. | |
|--|--|
| Noanyspecificfindingshowninconclusion,soneedtorewrite. Author discussion is not justify properly with the title. | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment(if and highlight that part should write his/her fe |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Priya Patel |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Saurashtra University, India |

(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript art in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors feedback here)