

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofBiochemistryResearch&Review
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJBCRR_130171
TitleoftheManuscript:	EFFECTOFPROLONGEDADMINISTRATIONOFHIGHFATDIETONSERUMBIOCHEMICAL,HAEMATOLOGICALANDORGANHISTOLOGYINRATS
TypeoftheArticle	RESEARCH

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer’scomment	Author’sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportan ce of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	ManuscripttopicwasgoodandInformativetothereaders. Itwillbehelpfulresearchers’communitywhoareworkingonstudytheeffectof dietonvarioushealth issues.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstractneedtorewritespecialymethodsparttoclearunderstandingtoreaders.	
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrit e here.	Itneedsomemajorcorrections, In the introduction section lacking of rational of the study. It should be mention well. Animaethicscommitteenumeroethicalstatementshouldberequireinthemanuscript. Rightnow,methodpartistotallyvague,readersarenotabletoeasilyunderstoodaboutthefindingofauthors.	
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention theminthereviewform.	Referencesaresufficient. Butitswouldbebetterifauthoraddmorerecentreferencesspeciallyafter2021.	
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	No,Itsneedtoimprove	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	MAJOR Languageneed to improvethroughout themanuscript Manyspellingandgrammaticalerrorneed torectifyproperly. Lacking of explanation of data which have mentioned in table and figures in text.Atpresentauthorshaveadddataonlyintheresult section(repeatinformationinthe text), need to right some short scientific explanation. Discussionpartauthorhavementionedtheotherfinding,Itsbetterifauthorcan connect with their finding. Clearlymentionaboutethicalstatements. Whichratsusedforthestudy,mentionspecies,ageandsex. Format of manuscript	

ReviewForm3

	was not proper. Noanyspecificfindingsshowninconclusion,soneedtorewrite. Author discussion is not justify properly with the title.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priya Patel
Department, University & Country	Saurashtra University, India