

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_131114
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory Evaluation of Rasmalai blended with Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) pulp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<div>✓ This Study explores the novel incorporation of sweet potato pulp into Rasmalai, a popular dairy-based dessert. By evaluating the sensory attributes, it provides insights into improving the nutritional profile, texture, and overall acceptability of traditional sweets.</div> <div>✓ Additionally, this work contributes to the growing body of research on functional foods, sustainability, and value addition in dairy products, offering potential benefits for the food industry and public health.</div>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<div>✓ Good Title and Suitable, as It prepare an alternate, Food product for community with Improved Nutritional content.</div>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<div>✓ Good with some Improvements regarding, Result and discussion. The Author should Incorporate Data analysis and statistical analysis final result data in abstract part of the paper.</div>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<div>✓ The study focuses on sensory evaluation but does not provide nutritional data (e.g., carbohydrate, protein, fiber content).</div> <div>✓ Including a proximate analysis would strengthen the study by quantifying the nutritional benefits of adding sweet potato pulp.</div>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<div>✓ Some references are outdated, example: 2007, 2013. Author need to revise and amend with recent references.</div>	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<div>✓ There are numerous grammatical errors and awkward phrasing. The article would benefit from professional proofreading.</div> <div>Example: "Nutritional benefits of sweet potato bladed in Rasmalai were exploited in the present study..." → should be "The nutritional benefits of sweet potato blended into Rasmalai were explored in this study..." The author duty is to revise and amend those grammar and related punctuation.</div>	
Optional/General comments	<div>✓ The Paper Introduction part/Methodology part need minimum of a single image describing that Raw Material or Blending Products.</div> <div>✓ While sensory scores suggest consumer acceptance, a discussion on potential marketability would add value.</div> <div>✓ Would consumers be willing to pay extra for this enhanced Rasmalai?</div> <div>✓ Figures & Tables Formatting Issues:<div><div>○ The flowchart for sweet potato pulp preparation is informative but could be more visually clear. As Author Improve such a way.</div><div>○ Tables could be formatted more neatly and modern way for better readability.</div></div></div> <div>✓ Why 10% sweet potato pulp the optimal level? to balance between sweetness, texture, or some other factors?<div><div>○ Did you consider running a proximate analysis to quantify fiber, vitamins, or antioxidant content?</div><div>○ Since sweet potato adds natural sweetness, could sugar levels be further reduced without affecting acceptability?</div></div></div> <div>✓ Were consumer trials conducted beyond the laboratory section?<div><div>○ Testing with a broader audience (e.g., different age groups) would provide better insights into commercial viability.</div></div></div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Birhanu Zeleke Tilinti
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia