

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_130685
Title of the Manuscript:	Membrane Fouling Dynamics in the Clarification of Pineapple Juice Using Egg Albumin Pretreatment: A Study on Hermia's Empirical Models
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper describes the purification of pineapple juice using different membrane sizes and determine of fouling model for each size. The importance of the paper is that it offers a method for producing good quality pineapple juice for consumption since it is a popular juice drink. However the fouling of the membranes is of major concern and the authors offered a solution to that.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Membrane Fouling Dynamics in the Clarification of Pineapple Juice <b>After</b> Egg Albumin Pretreatment: A Study on Hermia's Empirical Models	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be improved. For example the authors make a claim that pineapple juice is the most popular fruits. Is this true? There is need to provide more benefits of pineapple which marks better than the other fruits. Concentrations of albumin used must be stated. The results of the fouling dynamics of different membrane sizes must be stated.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In general the manuscript is scientifically correct by the authors made some generalized statements without an literature backing. The introduction has not quoted literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript lacks references and some of the quoted references are too old.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English and presentation is fair	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Washington Mutatu
Department, University & Country	Zimbabwe Sugarcane Research Institute, Zimbabwe