

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_130369
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FERMENTATION PERIOD ON NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION, ANTINUTRITIONAL FACTORS, AND SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF CASSAVA-ORANGE FLESH SWEET POTATO PUPURU
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides significant insights into the impact of fermentation duration on the nutritional composition, antinutrient factors and sensory attributes of cassava-orange flesh sweet potato pupuru. The findings are of particular interest to researchers in the food industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is commensurate with the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be restructured so as to provide a concise overview of the work's purpose, the techniques and methods employed, and the principal findings accompanied by significant data and conclusions. It is important to note that the abstract should not include a detailed presentation of the results obtained (of all values).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The introduction provides an adequate description of the problem under discussion and demonstrates its significance. However, the scientific quality is limited. The methodology employed is appropriate to the purpose of the research, but could be restructured to enhance its scientific rigour. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of the food industry. For the publication of this study, however, it is recommended that it be supplemented with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity. The manuscript presents some issues of scientific accuracy that require further attention. For instance, the research methodology is not sufficiently detailed, which hinders an assessment of the study's rigour and reproducibility. Furthermore, the literature cited is limited and does not reflect the most recent research in the field, which reduces the manuscript's relevance and theoretical context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is imperative that certain corrections are made. In order to ensure the validity of the research, it is recommended that a greater number of more recent studies on the subject be included, along with their insertion in bibliographic references.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is recommended that a thorough review of the entire manuscript be conducted to rectify any grammatical or syntactic errors that may be present.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In order to enhance the quality of this manuscript, the following recommendations should be taken into consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript's general presentation should be reviewed, with particular attention to the spacing between words and the use of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables, figures and text, in accordance with the journal's requirements. - It is recommended that the manuscript undergoes a thorough revision to align with the journal's standards, encompassing English language editing, technical editing, and the creation of a comprehensive bibliography. In order to enhance the quality of this manuscript, the following recommendations are hereby made: - A critical approach to the literature should be adopted in order to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, the development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives; - The theoretical documentation of the study is incomplete and lacks sufficient sources (materials, reports, publications, etc.) that directly address the subject matter under investigation. For instance, a comprehensive review of the nutritional profile of cassava-orange fleshed sweet potato pupuru is absent; - The presentation of the Conclusions is very short. I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained. <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Daniela Stoin</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I" from Timisoara, Romania</p>