

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_130368
Title of the Manuscript:	Process Optimization for Development of Extruded Product from Brown Rice-Amaranth-Moringa Seed Powder Blend
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is innovative. It is backed up with scientific findings. Development of a functional food which will offer both nutritional and health properties.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Process Optimization for Development of Extruded Snack from Brown Rice-Amaranth-Moringa Seed Powder Blend	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Addition of introduction to the abstract. Method used for the bulk density, hardness and expansion ratio was not mentioned.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct but more findings has to be done to support it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient at all and not recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language used is alright	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More findings about the nutritional and functional properties of the 3 plants used. No literature review, no gap of study. The methodology was not explained in detail. No literature review on the nutritional, functional properties, and extrusion process to back up the discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ola-Adedoyin, Abimbola Theresa
Department, University & Country	Nigeria Natural Medicine Development Agency, Nigeria