

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_130789
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIPLASMODIAL PROPERTIES OF MEDICINAL PLANTS FROM EAST SINGHBHUM DISTRICT OF JHARKHAND IN INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present study has focused on the review and study of antiplasmodial properties of medicinal plants from east singhbhum district of jharkhand in india. This study has reviewed the different pomegranate medicinal plants for their medicinal properties. In which different medicinal properties were discussed with importance in the curing human diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to improve, it should be precise. In abstract, authors have mentioned 29 medicinal plants have studied, but in script only 28 plants have been mentioned.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No Only small review has been done, needs extensive review of each medicinal plant with their medicinal properties. Need to discuss the existing studies have been done using this medicinal plant. Need to extensive improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more recent references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No Quality of the article language is poor having many mistakes. The manuscript needs to be improved with sound grammar.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghodake Balkrushna Dattatray
Department, University & Country	Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India