

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_130181
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Botanical, Ethnomedicinal Uses, and Phytochemistry of Dalbergia (Fabaceae) Species
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents key information on the <i>Dalbergia</i> genus, emphasizing its botanical, ethnomedicinal, and phytochemical properties. It highlights the therapeutic potential of <i>Dalbergia</i> species used in traditional medicine while noting the scarcity of pharmacological research on them. By addressing these areas, the manuscript enhances the scientific understanding of medicinal plants.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable. It is descriptive and aligns well with the content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but can be improved for clarity and structure. For instance, the sentence "This work is focused on the general review of the Biology/botanical aspect, ethnomedicinal properties and the phytochemistry of <i>Dalbergia</i> Fabaceae" could be revised for conciseness. Additionally, it would benefit from briefly mentioning the gaps identified in current research and the need for further pharmacological studies.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	While the manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor, certain ethnomedicinal claims would benefit from further substantiation. For example, the use of <i>Dalbergia saxatilis</i> in the treatment of smallpox and <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> for syphilis should be corroborated with more recent sources. Furthermore, reducing redundancy between the "Botanical Aspect" and "Ethnomedicinal Properties" sections would enhance overall readability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are comprehensive, but many older citations are heavily relied upon. Incorporating recent studies, especially those published within the last five years, would enhance the manuscript's relevance.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally understandable but requires refinement. Some sentences are overly complex, and there are occasional grammatical errors. For example, "The fabaceae subfamily Faboideae of the pea family includes the large genus Dalbergia, which has over 300 species" can be simplified.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Include a table summarizing the ethnomedicinal uses and phytochemical constituents of key <i>Dalbergia</i> species.2. Consistently italicize all botanical names and provide author citations (e.g., <i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> Roxb.).3. Add a diagram or flowchart to represent the distribution and phytochemical pathways.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogesh R. Urdukhe
Department, University & Country	JES College, India