# **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_130623
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and implementation of a system for generating and certifying bank cheques using blockchain
Type of the Article	

## PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		
	The manuscript delves deep into the use of blockchain for generating and certifying bank cheques. The authors have displayed great initiative towards secure and efficient method with the implementation of an emerging technology. However, there are a few suggestions that could further improve the quality of the research conducted and the paper itself.	
	<ul> <li>Include a Literature review section within the manuscript to provide outcomes of previous works and where the current research stands in the academia</li> </ul>	
	It is highly recommended to clearly mention the main contributions in a bullet point format before the paper organization in the Introduction to highlight the results of the research	
	The authors should make sure each of the figures have been cited in-text to provide a description	
	To improve the quality of the research conducted, perhaps the authors should provide a statistical analysis with visuals instead of a theoretical analysis	
	The conclusion is nearly a paragraph. The authors are suggested to provide an in-depth explanation of the results of the research	
	- The authors are suggested to include future research directions to highlight pathways for future researchers to take on	
	- References are poorly structured, and perhaps more recent ones should be considered	

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Surendra Pandey
Department, University & Country	USA

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)