

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_130024
Title of the Manuscript:	Perfect the Puff: Reinforcing Metered-Dose Inhaler Excellence Amongst Primary Care Trainees
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of metered-dose inhaler (MDI) technique among Internal Medicine residents, a group that plays a vital role in asthma management. The findings underscore the persistent knowledge gaps in MDI use and highlight the effectiveness of brief educational interventions in improving technique knowledge, which is essential for optimal patient care. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the need for ongoing training and education, suggesting that integrating such programs into residency curricula could enhance the competency of future healthcare providers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract succinctly summarizes the study's purpose, methods, and findings. However, it could benefit from a clearer statement of the implications of the findings for clinical practice. Including specific recommendations for future training sessions would enhance its relevance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the article presents a valuable contribution to the literature on asthma management and healthcare education.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references cited throughout the article are relevant and provide a solid foundation for the claims made.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>Introduction: The introduction effectively sets the context by discussing the prevalence of asthma in the Bronx and the demographic disparities in asthma burden. However, it would be beneficial to include a brief overview of existing literature on MDI misuse to establish a stronger rationale for the study.</p> <p>Article should include the limitations and strengths of the study at the end of discussion.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeel Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Lahore General Hospital, Pakistan